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#### Abstract

A seismic signal processor has been developed and tested for use with the NOAA-GOES satellite data collection system. Performance tests on recorded, as well as real time, short period signals indicate that the event recognition technique used (formulated by Rex Allen) is nearly perfect in its rejection of cultural signals and that data can be acquired in many swarm situations with the use of solid state buffer memories. Detailed circuit diagrams are provided. The design of a complete field data collection platform is discussed and the employment of data collection platforms in seismic networks is reviewed.
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# APPLICATIONS OF SATELLITE DATA RELAY 

TO PROBLEMS OF FIELD SEISMOLOGY

## INTRODUCTION

In 1975, The Geophysics Branch of Goddard Space Flight Center decided that developing a data collection platform (DCP) to transmit seismic information by satellite relay would be an excellent way of using space techniques to benefit scientific research. For the next several years, a cooperative program was maintained with Rex Allen at the U.S. Geological Survey's Branch of Earthquake Mechanics and Prediction at Menlo Park, California, with Goddard furnishing partial financial support for the development of a seismic-event detector algorithm. In 1977, a breadboard event detector, using a first version of Allen's algorithm, was designed, built and tested by Rovert Novas (1977)* at Goddard. This was preliminary to the present effort.

The design goal was a system with maximum reliability and scientific return at minimum unit cost and complexity. Scientific requirements were established by a survey of potential users in universities and federal agencies.

Upon completion of the initial design in mid-1977, it was decided to construct a breadboard engineering model to demonstrate the viability of the concept. The purpose of this effort was to show that a satellite seismic DCP can be constructed with no technical risk. All of the elements of the DCP that might represent a risk were breadboarded and the results were used to refine the final design of the DCP. The breadboard was completed in early 1979 and was initially tested using

[^1]magnetic tapes of Alaskan seismic events, furmished by the University of Alaska. Since then the breadboard has been undergoing operational tests using a seismic signal transmitted by telephone lines to Goddard from a vertical axis, short-period seismic installation near Baltimore, Maryland.

The stated goal of proving the feasibility of the concept has been accomplished. Programmatic considerations have precluded further efforts to use the existing unit or develop a field-hardened unit. The purpose of this report is to describe and evaluate the breadboard design and operational characteristics. Additional information may be furnished to any group desirous of continuing this development.

## HISTORY AND JUSTIFICATION

The collection of data by satellite is a relatively new technique first demonstrated in 1967 using NASA's ATS-1 (Applications Technology Satellite). The first demonstration was the NASA Omega Position Location Equipment System which proved that accurate positions could be obtained from platforms in remote locations and that satellite relay did not degrade the data. This experiment was followed in 1969 by the Interrogation, Recording and Location System flown on Nimbus-3 and Nimbus-4. This was the first global satellite system to demonstrate the worldwide capabilities of data collection by satellite.

Because they were designed to respond to interrogations from the satellites, these ground systems were relatively large and expensive and required considerable power. This was overcome in the Landsat series of satellites, initiated in 1972, by designing the ground platforms to transmit at random times, thus eliminating the requirement for having a receiving system in the DCP. In 1974, NOAA introduced the GOES (Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite) system that employs either a scheduled or satellite interrogated transmission system.

Figure 1 is a block diagram of typical satellite relaying systems currently being used to return information from low-data-rate geophysical instruments such as tide gauges, strain meters and tiltmeters. However, because of the high-data-rate requirements, no practical cost-effective system presently exists for returning high-data-rate seismic information. For example, continuously recording seismic data, using a 12 -bit word for signal resolution and sampling at 50 hertz ( Hz ), requires almost 52 megabits per day per component.

Off-the-shelf availability of such a field system would have many advantages. Currently, most unmanned seismic field stations either have to be visited every day or two, to replace recording paper, or the information has to be transmitted to a central location by expensive and sometimes noisy phone lines and/or radio relays. Phone lines, almost nonexistent in remote, inhospitable or underdeveloped areas such as Alaska, are often unreliable, even in populated areas. Furthermore, ground communcations often become inoperative before, during, and after a major earthquake. When geophysical systems are operated in extremely inaccessible regions, data are usually preserved on lowpowered, slow-speed recording systems which may run unattended for months; the data are then collected several times a year. Such systems require sacrifices in timing accuracy and information content, and since data analysis is often delayed for months after the events, earthquake prediction capability is lost. Also, there can be no assurance that the instrument is performing as planned. In addition, it is often desirable to rapidly augment a seismic network to collect earthquake precursor signals or monitor aftershocks, and the dependence upon phone lines or radio relays might impede the mobility of instrument siting and increase installation time. Finally, for earthquake disaster relief, it would be of inestimable value to have available worldwide seismic data in real time. The primary disadvantages are the increased cost and complexity of the collection system and. depending

Figurs 1. Block diagram of a typical satellite data relay system.
on the requirements of the investigator, the possible necessity of working with simplified or degraded data.

## DESIGN PHILOSOPHY AND USER REQUIREMENTS

To be most widely applicable, a seismic DCP should possess the following characteristics:

1. Provide, in near real time, significant scientific data for a broad spectrum of investigators
2. Have a reasonable price; i.e., be affordable by most investigators
3. Operate with existing satellites
4. Operate on a one-to-one basis with a single seismic system;i.e., not be dependent on crosscorrelation schemes between multiple systems
5. Be battery operated with at least a six-months life between battery changes
6. Be field hardened; i.e., reliable, capable of unattended operation, environmentally sealed, wide thermal operating range, minimal moving parts such as tape recorders, etc.
7. Be relatively small and lightweight.

The obvious key to a practical field system is an "event detector" device that reliably differentiates signals from background noise. Once this is done, the noise periods can be discarded and the event signals can be operated on by the system. If desirable, further data compression can be performed on the stored events before transmission. Igure 2 schematically illustrates such a system.

The majority of event detecting devices have generally depended on a manually-set threshold for comparing short-term energy (signal) with long-term energy (noise). The reliability of such a device is considerably increased when cross-correlation between multiple seismic stations is possible, but such correlation is obviously not feasible when a single seismometer/DCP system is under


Figure 2. Biock diagram of a seismic data collection plationn (DCP).
consideration. Allenby et al. (1977), detailed the development of seismic event detectors. The algorithm used for Goddard's breadboard was developed by Rex Allen (1978) of the U.S. Geological Survey in Menlo Park, California, and was based on an earlier design by Stewart (1977).

In considering the scientific data provided by the system, it was decided that the DCP should be applicable mainly to research presently associated with remote, untended, short-period seismic installations. This would generally restrict the use of the system to local and regional data studies and would avoid data requirements associated with relatively complex analyses of very distant events or surface waves. The DCP should then be useful for studies related to:

1. Crustal Hazard Reductions
a. Earthquake mechanisms
b. Earthquake prediction
c. Interplate and intraplate stress and tectonism
d. Volcanic eruption prediction
e. Seismicity of reservoir fulling.
2. Crustal and Mantle Composition and Structure
3. Mine and Quarry Blast Monitoring
4. Tsunami Prediction.

The next scientific design consideration was what components of the individual seismic signal are needed for the various studies. In order of increasing data complexity these are:

1. Number of events per day

Volcano monitoring
Earthquake swarm studies

| 2. "P" (compressional wave) arrival | Location and magnitude of earthquakes |
| :--- | :--- |
| time | (tectonic and volcanic) |
| Direction first motion | Tsunami prediction |
| Duration and/or maximum amplitude | Blast monitoring |
| and frequency | Fault plane solutions |
| 3. All of " 2 " plus " S " (shear wave) | Earthquake prediction |
| arrival time | $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}\right.$ anomalies) |
|  | Regional seismicity |

The challenge, then, was to design a practical field DCP system that would provide as much as possible of the above information. To help us in this, university and government scientists were consulted regarding their data preferences. Initially, some consideration was given to processing the data in the field and relaying back only numbers representarive of the values of times of the desired features. 'However, developing an algorithm to identify the " $S$ " phase would be a ve, $y$ difficult, if not impossible, task. In addition, we found almost no application in which the users were willing to accept the loss of the actual trace, primarily because of a natural unwillingness to depend on a field computer to analyze the signal. For these reasons it was decided to reconstruct the retumed signal into analog form. The general requirements for such a signal were a bandwidth of 0.5 to 25 Hz , a maximum-event length of around 180 seconds, and a digital resolution of 12 bits (72-dB signal-to-noise ratio). Considerable interest was also expressed in using 16-bit word lengths for signal level ( $96-\mathrm{dB}$ signal-to-noise ratio), but, at that time 16 -bit analog-to-digital converters lacked stabiiity and reliability. For these reasons the final system was designed for a 12 -bit word at a sampling rate of 50 times per second. Thus, a 180 -second recording involves a total of 108 kilobit (kb) (not including any overhead due to housekeeping, timing, magnitude and quality data).

In addition to these primary requirements, other factors arose. First, because the $S$ arrival from nearby events is often stronger than the P on short-period vertical sensors, it is desirable to return a portion of the trace preceding the selected event to verify that the event was picked on the $P$ and not the $S$ phase. For regional earthquakes, the headwave $P_{n}$ is weak in comparison with $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathrm{P}^{*}$. It is therefore desirable to have, perhaps, 5 seconds of pre-event detect signal so that those phases can be properly identified. It would then be possible to put tight constraints on depth and distance and provide detailed information on regional structure.

A short pre-event strip is also useful for indicating the background noise level and hence the operating reliability of the event detector. For these reasons, a 10 second pre-event strip precedes the recording of the actual event. As mentioned previously, the maximum total recording time per event is 180 seconds (including the 10 seconds pre-event time). However, this total time is adjustable because, for many applications, an event time of 90 seconds is sufficient.

Several users expressed concern about the possible saturation of the system in the event of swarms. A number of schemes were considered. A procedure of buffer swapping to be described below was adopted. Three such buffers or memories would allow an efficiency of 43 percent in the event of swarms. The system would saturate the available output data stream but would be able to record 43 percent of the time for transmission.

Magnetic tape was eliminated for event storage because of mechanical complexities. Reliable bubble memories are not yet available, and power requirements are high. Solid-state memories proved to be quite satisfactory. The breadboard contains two memories. When an event is identified, number one memory records 10 seconds of pre-event noise and, depending on the setting,

80 seconds of the event at a high-data rate. The stored event is then "dumped" at a lower-data rate through the satellite. It requires about 9 minutes for a $11 / 2$-minute event to be transmitted to the satellite.

The design was dependent on the choice of satellites. A dedicated channel on a synchronous satellite would permit continuous transmission (depending on the power budget of the DCP). In contrast, nonsynchronous satellites require satellite callup, random or timed data dumps. In both cases maximum data rates vary depending on antenna sizes, power, etc. While there are numerous communication satellites that are technically suitable, ground unit costs are related to the operating frequencies of the satellite. Thus, our requirement for low DCP unit costs eliminated many satellites from contention at the present. Most of the high volume satellites operate in the $1-\mathrm{GHz}$ (gigahertz) or $5-\mathrm{GHz}$ satellite allocations. Technology is not yet up to producing inexpensive and efficient transmitters at these frequencies. A typical 20 -watt transmitter at $2 \mathbf{G H z}$ is about 10 times as expensive and half as efficient as its $400-\mathrm{MHz}$ (megahertz) counterpart. In addition, because frequency slots are assigned within satellite transponders to a high percentage accuracy, the frequency control is much more expensive at the microwave frequency than at uhf (ultra-high frequency).

Accordingly, satellites with. uplink frequencies in the uhf range are preferred. As an example of the maturity of the uhf technology, a single-module power amplifier capable of generating a 15 -watt output signal from 150 mW (milliwatt) of drive at 400 MHz costs about $\$ 80$. A similar microwave power amplifier costs $\$ 1000$ and is half as efficient.

The most extensive network of satellites using a uhf data collection system (DCS) is the GOES system. In addition to its prime function as an imaging meteorological satellite, GOES has a
$400-\mathrm{MHz}$ uplink DCS. A simplified block diagram of the DCS system is given in Figure 3. Note that there are 200 DCP uplink c.'annels between 401.2 and 401.7 MHz . Each of these channels is $15-\mathrm{kHz}$ (kilohertz) wide and is intended to accommodate ASCII code at 100 bps (bits per second). The satellite, being synchronous, allows random dumping by the DCP whenever an event is identified and stored. Also, since the United Nations' World Meteorological Organization (WMO) protocol provides for a worldwide GOES system, it seems likely that a GOES type DCS will be available for at least the near future.

Therefore, the design and demonstration work was conducted, assuming the GOES DCS characteristics as the design driver. However, because the 100 bps restriction is relatively severe (and, in fact, represents a "worst-case" situation for all practical purposes), and since microwave transmitter technology is fast becoming mature, a modular approach was adopted which would allow an easy change of output data rate and transmitter frequency.

## BASIC OPERATING PRINCIPLES OF SYSTEM

The output of a single-axis, high frequency ( 1 to 2 Hz ) seismometer is continuously monitored by an automatic event detector ("P" picker). When an event is identified, up to 180 seconds of signal ( 10 seconds pre-event noise and 170 seconds of event) is recorded and stored in a solid-state memory at a sampling rate of 50 times per second and a 12 -bit word for signal resolution. A delay line allows the system to recover 10 seconds of pre-event signal after the event picker decides it has an event.

Upon completion of recording, the first memory system goes off the line and begins transmitting to the GOES satellite at 100 bps. During the 18 minutes required for the first memory to dump a
GOES DATA COLLECTION SYSTEM


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ORIGINAL PAGE IK } \\
& \text { OF POOR QUALITY }
\end{aligned}
$$

3-minute signal to the satellite, a second memory is on the line to record the next detected event. For field use, particularly if swarms are expected, at least three memories would be required.

## DEMONSTRATION HARDWARE

The demonstration breadboard was designed and constructed according to the following criteria:

| Input signal | Anaiog |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bandwidth | 25 Hz |
| Event length | 180 seconds (maximum) |
| Resolution | 12 bits |
| Output signal | Compatible with GOES (100 bps bi-phase) |
| Operating mode | GOES emergency event triggered |
| Power | Battery pack |
| Battery life | Six months (average 12 events per day) |
| Cost per field unit | Less than $\$ 10,000$, including DCP and radio set |

A block diagram is given in Figure 4 and contains all the subunits of the breadboard. In what follows, the design of the demonstration unit on a subunit basis will be discussed.

The breadboard receives signals from an event simulator, a tape recorder, or a conventional discriminator. The prerecorded analog tapes were provided by the University of Alaska. The event simulator generates a damped harmonic signal electronically. The breadboard output is a serial-digital signal at the GOES rate of 100 bps. This signal is passed to a digital-to-analog converter for comparison with the input signal.

Figure 4. Block diagram of seismic DCP showing portion constructed in breadboard.

## ANALOG CIRCUITS

Figure 5 shows the analog circuit block diagram, a detailed circuit diagram is shown in Sheet 2". The instrumentation preamplifier has a bandwidth of 50 Hz and a gain of two. This amplifier gain can be increased to 2000 by a component change. The high gain was not required for the breadboard because the tape recorded signal was already preamplified. The wide bandwidth of 50 Hz enables the event detector to determine event-occurrence time to within 10 milliseconds. With a $50-\mathrm{Hz}$ information bandwidth, the Nyquist sampling theorem dictates at least a 100 sample-per-second rate. The 12 -bit analog/digital (A/D) converter has additional filtering ( $25-\mathrm{Hz}$ low pass) to minimize signal aliasing.

The demonstration breadboard could have been designed with one 12 -bit A/D converter followed by a digital filter and a divide-by-16 circuit. This approach was not used vecause: (1) using the digital divider and filter would have required more modules, and (2) this approach also allows an easy change of microprocessors since the entire event detector is isolated from the main data stream.

## Digital Delay

A delay is required before buffer storage to:

1. Provide the experimenter with some pre-event noise for signal analysis.
2. Provide time for the microprocessor to calculate whether an event has occurred.
3. Provide pre-event time for the base station receiving system to obtain synchronization.
4. Provide time for the DCP transmitter to stabilize prior to sending an event signal.

[^2]
Figure 5. Analog circuit block diagram for breadboard.

A delay of 10 seconds appears to be adequate to perform these functions. A delay of 10 seconds is obtained by a 1024 word, 12 -bit-per-word CMOS RAM used as a first in-first out memory. If more delay is necessary, the delay time can be changed to a maximum 81.92 seconds by a wiring modification that changes the decoder input signals (Sheet 3, module E3). The decoded output resets the 12 -stage ripple counter module E1 at the required time. The output of the ripple counter also provides the addressing signals to RAM devices with address-state changes every 20 milliseconds.

Data delayed by the delay time is always being sent to the buffer memory module for possible storage. Recording in the buffer storage depends on the buffer storage control and gating signals that are under control of the microcomputer.

## 100 kb Buffor Memory.

There are several solid state technologies that can be used for a memory size of 100 kb ; i.e., core, plated wire, CMOS, NMOS, MNOS and magnetic bubble. Because of reliability considerations, mechanical devices (i.e., tape recorders) were not considered. Charge coupled devices (CCD) were not a candidate because of our need for a low operating rate of 100 bps . The operating rate has to be greater than 50 kbps for most CCD chips containing 4096 bits or greater because of "dark current" limitations. Plated wire is too expensive; sore dissipates too much power compared to the other solid-state devices. Metal-nitrate-oxide semiconductor (MNOS) devices are too expensive, ease of manufacture is poor, and the availability of second sources is also poor. A list of the candidate components and their characteristics for a 100 kb buffer memory is given in Table 1 .

The static CMOS RAM memory device was selected over the other candidates primarily because of the very low average power dissipation. Rejection of the magnetic bubble device was not primarily

Table 1
Memory Components Characteristics for Mass
Memory Application

|  | CMOS | DYNAMIC <br> NMOS | MAGNETIC <br> BUBBLE | STATIC <br> NMOS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturer's Number | 1 M6508 | Intel 2116 | TBM 0100 | EMM 4044 |
| Chip Density (bits) | 1024 | 16384 | 92000 | 4096 |
| Chip Organization | RAM | RAM | FIFO | RAM |
| Number of Different Voltages <br> Required | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| Operating Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $-55_{+125}$ | $-55_{+125}$ | $+15_{+35}$ | $-55_{+125}$ |
| Module Cap., kilobits | 110.6 | 114.7 | 92 | 110.6 |
| Average Power, watts | 0.054 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 6.4 |
| Module Component Cost (\$) | 540 | 390 | 470 | 416 |

due to power requirements. The magnetic bubble device has the advantage that storage is nonvolatile and the device can be power switched. We did not use the device because its availability is poor at present and the ease of use in the design is difficult. A re-evaluation of the magnetic bubble should be conducted in a few years, when the device's performance, availability, and adaptability are improved.

A detailed schematic for each of the two $110 \cdot \mathrm{~kb}$ buffers is given in Sheet 7. Note, that the buffer is parallel organized ( 12 bits $\times 9216$ words). The storage elements are CMOS RAMS ( $1024 \times 1$ ). Operating length of each buffer can be changed by eight switches from 2048 to 9216 words in steps of 1024 words. This corresponds to event lengths of 41 to 184 seconds. (S-P range circles of about 250 kilometers $(\mathrm{km})$ to 1700 km .)

## Expht Detector

The event detection function is performed by a microprocessor that is programmed to process digitized seismic signals in real time. Interface to the rest of the system is particularly simple. Figure 6 shows the event detector interface signals. The only output interface signal used by the remaining modules is the event-detect signal; the event-status data, which would be used in a field unit, is not used here; this signal can be obtained via the data terminal. The control signals and program constants are on front-pa;el switches and are read only during program initialization.

There are several microprocessor systems that could have been used. A list of candidate microprocessors is given in Table 2. The CMOS CDP 1802 was selected based on the following criteria:

1. J.nw power
2. Add time
3. Support chips available
4. Keliability (only microprocessor on GSFC preferred parts list).

The CDP1802 microprocessor is a single-chip, 8-bit, static microprocessor fabricated in CMOS technology. The CDP1 802 thus he; all the advantages of CMOS technology;i.e., low power dissipp..,n, sincic wide-range power supply, full operating temperature range and a single-phase clock. Our system uses a 5 -volt power supply and a $2-\mathrm{MHz}$ clock. (With a $2-\mathrm{MHz}$ clock, the machine-cycle time is 4 m .i.roseconds and the instruction cycle time is $\mathbf{8}$ microseconds.)

Refer to Sheets 4,5 , and 6 for the event detector module details. Note that Sheet 4 shows the interface and control circuits and the connections to the CDP1802 microprocessor. Sheet 5 diagrams the 4 K words of RAM (used as working storage) (32, CDP1822's). Sheet 6 shows the 4 K


Figure 6. Event detect interface signals.

Table 2
Candidate Microprocessors Characterization
With a $2-\mathrm{MHz}$ Clock

| Sart Number | Process | Power <br> (Milliwatts) | Data Bus Width | Add Time <br> (Microseconds) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SBP 9900 | $1^{2}$ L | 500 | 16 | 9 |
| TMS 9900 | NMOS | 1000 | 16 | 15 |
| CDP 1802 | CMOS | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| 1 M 6100 | CMOS | 5 | 12 | 10 |

words of ROM (4, M 2700) and 32 words of RAM used fot program and register storage). Only 2K words of ROM are actually needed; 1 K words for a standard utility program and IK words for the seismic processor program. The utility program is used to communicate with the data terminal.

## Control Circuitry

The control circuitry is used to generate:

1. Analog-to-digital conversion sample pulses
2. Buffer-control signals
3. Multiplexer-control signals.

All of these signals are derived from combinations of the $2-\mathrm{MHz}$ clock; the event-detect signal and the buffer full/empty signal.

The 2-MHz clock is divided down to generate all the sampling and timing pulses (modules $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{ClO}$, Sheet 8). Also derived are the analog-to-digital converter (ADC) sampling pulses which are continuous at either 50 cps ( 12 -bit ADC) or 100 cps (8-bit ADC).

Figure 7 shows the buffer timing sequences that are generated on the control circuit board. Until an event-detect signal occurs, both buffers are in standby. When the event detector declares a valid event, the event-detect signal triggers buffer 1 into operation. Buffer 2 remains in standby. Soon after the event-detect signal occurs, the buffer-1 clock starts operating at its high rate ( 50 cps ) and the MWR-1 signal enables a write operation. Buffer-1 initialization occurs when the first clock pulse causes memory location one to be written into. After buffer 1 is full, the " 1 full" signal is generated. The buffer-full signal starts a read operation clocked at the GOES rate ( 100 bps ). This is done through the MWR signal that places the memory into a read state. The memory addressing is organized so that the first-clock pulse after full signal enables reading from memory location one (the memory is a first-in/first-out type). After all memory cells are read, a (1 empty) signal is generated which places buffer 1 into standby. However, if another event occurs between the bufferfull and the bufferempty signal, buffer 2 begins a write operation. Buffer 2 will not perform a read operation until buffer 1 has received an empty signal, and a buffer- 2 full signal is generated.

The data-ready signals, diagramed in Figure 7, control the multiplexing of the two buffer output signals into one signal during buffer read times (Sheet 9). In a field DCP, this signal would be biphase modulated and then sent to the transmitter. In our unit, this signal is sent to a digital-toanalog converter, then to a visual recorder. The recorder used is a standard Sprengnether three channel drum recorder. The three signals recorded during unit-performance testing are: (1) the analog signal after the preamplifer, (2) the event-detect signal, and (3) the delayed processed data from the buffers read out at the equivalent of 100 bps .

The unit was constructed of CMOS DIP integrated circuits mounted on Augat circuit boards with connections by wirewrap. These boards are mounted into a standard $48-\mathrm{cm}$ (19-inch) rack chassis,

Figure 7. Buffer timing diagram.
8.5 cm ( $31 / 2$ inches) high. Power supplies are mounted separately. The unit was partitioned into boards as follows:

Board Function
1 Analog circuitry, control logic and multiplexing
2 Microprocessor, associated random access memory and read only memory

3 Buffer memory \#1
4 Buffer memory \#2
The system was partitioned so that: (1) a different type microprocessor module could easily be added for additional evaluation, and (2) buffer memory could easily be expanded if necessary. All controls are front-panel mounted with exception of the buffer-length switch.

## EVENT-DETECTOR ALGORITHM AND ITS IMPLEMENTION

The event-detection program, used on the 1802, is based on an algorithm developed by Rex Allen (1978) for the automatic detection and timing of seismic events from a single seismometer; however, modifications were necessary to run the program on the 8 -bit RCA 1802 microprocessor. The program is an interrupt-driven (real-time) task that identifies events to within 10 milliseconds. The program also evaluates the accuracy of its picks, thus eliminating the recording of events generated from noise sources such as vehicle traffic.

Appendix 3 contains the 1802 assembly code, a memory map, and the tables for conversion of control constant values to switch settings. Appendix 2 contains a running description of the Allen (1978) algorithm as implemented for the 1802.

Data from the 8 -bit analog/digital converter is searched for the possibility of an event according to Allen's criteria. The characteristic-function calculation is the primary time consumer of this event-search mode operation. Ideally, the whole event-search process for one sample should not take more than 10 milliseconds. In practice, the average time was calculated as 9.64 milliseconds, and in the worst case, 16.4 milliseconds. In actual use, we found that most samples ( 85 percent) could be handled in 10 milliseconds. When the program requires more than 10 milliseconds to process the sample, the next sample is ignored. The consequences of this time constraint are discussed in the engineering tests section.

Once a potential event is registered, the program enters the event-validation mode to test whether the suspected event passes duration, frequency, and amplitude criteria. On the average, this process should take 6.52 minutes, and in the worst case 13.28 milliseconds. In practice, we have not observed undersampling during the event-validation mode.

The current formulation of the algorithm will store up to 256 event initiation times in the form of clock cycles since initialization. Interpolation to a fractional clock cycle is not done. In addition to the event times, the zero crossings and peak amplitudes used in the analysis (up to 128) are also available. The memory lap in Appendix 3 shows that of the available 4 K words of RAM, only about 1.5 K words are used. Of the 4 K words of PROM, 1 K words are required for the eventdetection program, while 1 K words are used for the utility. This small RAM/ROM requirement indicates a possibility of sharing the memory resources between two processors to decrease the apparent cycle time. Although this alternative might permit faster processing of individual samples, multiprocessors have not been explored here.

The expected time requirements during each of the processor modes could be improved by using high-speed multiply/divide chips as peripheral devices to the 1802 processor. Although 1802 processor compatible forms of these chips are not yet available, it seems likely that such devices will appear in the immediate future.

## Enginearing Evaluation

The completed unit was subjected to several tests designed to evaluate the system's ability to detect events over a wide range of input-signal parameters. These measurements were then used to calculate: (1) the probability of false detection on broadband noise, (2) the probability of under sampling and, (3) the time to detect and verify an event.

The sensitivity to noise was measured with a "white" gaussian noise signal (i.e., no impulse noise). The probability of a false detection and the ability to complete the search and validate tasks in the prescribed time were measured. The statistical behavior of this type of noise exceeds, within the design bandwidth, the current implementation of the program's validation test ( 20 zero crossings in 2 seconds). Theoretically, additive gaussian noise in a $50-\mathrm{Hz}$ bandwidth should have 38 maxima per second and 50 zero crossings.

A graph of the prcbability of false detection as a function of noise and gain is given as Figure 8. The noise levels are in millivolts per square root hertz measured after the preamplifier (boaciwidth 50 Hz ). Also plotted along the abscissa are the aralog/digital converter quantization levels. With the program gain set to maximum, the figure shows that the probability of a false trigger increases significantly as the noise level rises above one quantization interval. For this reason, the noise level should be adjusted to less than 5 millivolts.
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NOISE LEVEL IN mv/Vhz AND QUANTIZATION LEVEL

The predicted number of instructions for the program to process one sample in the search and validate modes, with a full scale signal of 127 , is as follows:

| Mode | Worst Case |  | Average |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | At Best |  |  |
| Search | 2050 |  | 1205 |  |
| Validate | 1650 |  | 815 |  |
|  |  |  | 895 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 705 |

For a $2-\mathrm{MHz}$ clocking rate (which yields 8 microseconds per instruction time) there will be 1250 instructions within the 10 millisecond data sampling interval. It appears that under worse case conditions the central processing unit (CPU) data input could be under sampled, since the maximum number of instructions per sampling interval is 1250 . Measurements were made to check the efficiency on real-time data. The algorithm efficiency was measured by counting the CPU external flags (EF) that request transfer of data into the $2 P$. EF pulses were counted over a 10 -second period for various input noise and signal levels. Over a 10 -second period there should be 1000 transfers.

The following results were obtaine i :

1. No under sampling was detected with noise levels of $5,10,20$, and 30 millivolts. With the CPU programmed for maximum gain, the number of instructions to process these noise levels varied from 2 to 75 per EF sample time. The number of instructions was directly related to the noise level. As expected, the maximum gain setting yielded the largest running time.
2. No under sampling was detected when a simulated event was used as a signal. The simulated event is an electronically generated damped harmonic sinewave with a natural frequency of 10 Hz and a decay time of about 3.0 seconds (Figure 9). Under sampling was checked with various peak signal levels ( 4,2 and 1 volt) and the CPU gain.

OiztiNAL PACE IS
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(a) SINGLE EVENT


## (b) COMPOUND EVENT

Figure 9. Electronically generated event signals, vertical axis $2.0 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}$, horizontal axis $0.5 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{cm}$; a. single arrival; b. double arrival ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{S}$ ).
3. Under sampling was detected when a compound signal was used. A compound signal is two simulated events that occur within one second of each other; i.e., double arrivals or $\mathbf{P}$ and S phases (see Figure 9b). Under sampling was detected when the CPU was operating at maximum gain and the peak-input signal was 4 volts. Under these conditions the probability of missing a data transfer into the CPU was calculated to be 0.011 .
4. Figure 10 shows the relationship between the start of an event and the event-detect signal. Measurements obtained from over 200 trials, with both simulated and compound signals, show a variation from 0.1 to 3.0 seconds from the start of an event to the leading edge of the event-detect signal, $t_{\mathbf{D}}$. Figure 11 is a plot of the mean time to detect and verify a simulated signal $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{D}}$, as a function of peak-signal level and the CPU gain. These tests showed that a 10 second delay is sufficient to provide the computational time needed to determine that a noncultural event has occurred.

## PERFORMANCE TESTS

As part of the evaluation, the processor unit was connected to a realtime analog signal from an auxilliary short-pctiod vertical seismometer (SPZ) at the Geophysics Branch,Ellicott City, Maryland, seismic station (identification code ECM). This signal is unfiltered and has a bandpass appropriate to the seismometer and voltage-controlled oscillator responses ( 0.1 to 30 Hz ). The ECM station is located just east of the intersection of I-40 and I-70 west of Baltimore, Maryland. The instrument package is on a poured concrete slab in contact with the banded member of the Baltimore Gneiss. Because of its location, unfiltered signals from ECM contain large numbers of heavy and light vehicle signatures. I -70 is, in fact, one of the main truck routes into Baltimore. Local earthquakes and close mine blasts are not frequent enough to provide the kind of detailed evaluation of the event


Figure 10. Timing relationship between the start of a simulated event (a) and the event-detect signal (b). Vertical scale $5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}$, horizontal scale $0.5 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{cm}$.
MEAN TIME TO DETECT AN EVENT IN SEC.

PEAK SIGNAL LEVEL, IN VOLTS

Figure 11. Mean time to detect an event as a function of signal level and CPU gain.
recognition algorithm on seismic signals as reported by Allen (1978). The relatively low frequency of such events only allows us to show that the Allen algorithm performs on such blasts and earthquakes in a manner consistent with Alen's observations in a much more active seismic environment. An example of the performance on noncultural signals is given in Figure 12.

Within a typical seven day period, four mine blasts closer than 200 km are detected at ECM. Also, there are two known areas of low-level seismicity within 600 km of ECM (see Bollinger, 1973 and Sbar and Sykes, 1973, for example). The Lancaster, Pennsylvania, area has been responsible for about three events per year and the magnitudes are typically well under $\mathrm{mb}=3.0$. The second zone is in west central Virginia and has averaged two events per year, also with magnitudes well under 3.0.

Athough the low number of close noncultural signals does not permit the quick acquisition of meaningful performance statistics on such signals, the extensive vehicular traffic near ECM allows a definitive analysis of the Ailen algorithm's ability to discriminate between cultural signals and real seismic events. To illustrate: the unfiltered SPZ output contains about 40 truck and 20 automobile signatures in a typical 4-hour period centered around one of the "rush hours." There is also a clear diurnal cycle in the high-frequency background noise with peaks during the rush hours. As would be expected, there is a strong decrease in the high-frequency background and in the number of high-frequency signatures on weekends and holidays.

Following is a summary of the performance of the system on noncultural signals. The results are presented as the probability that the algorithm will fail to detect an event in a particular
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Figure 12. Examples of signel processing by the breedboerd unit. The evem-detect pulses corresponding to the events displeyed are marked with triengles.
distance range are based on a total of 80 events.

- False hits/possible false hits

10 to 25 percent

- Activity level of 100 bps channel
- Event miss probability $D>600 \mathrm{~km}$
$1000 \mathrm{~km}>\mathrm{D} \geqslant 600 \mathrm{~km}$
D $>1000 \mathrm{~km}$ 20 to 40 percent

0 percent
25 percent
100 percent

In our formulations, Allen's (1978) algorithm has a soft cutoff at around 1000 km due to our choice of averaging technique and the azcentuation of the high frequency sensitivity by the form of Allen's characteristic function. Since the characteristic frequency of seismic signals decreases with increasing distance, the algorithm will not respond to distant signals unless they are stronger than normal.

The best indication of the performance of the system on cultural signals is to ineasure the percentage of time that the 100 bps output is active. In general, the activity level is a measure of the rate of false triggering. Also, the truck signature is of characteristic frequency greater than 10 Hz and shows two short duration spikes of about twice the amplituce of the rest of the e:enature. $T$ : signature provides all the necessary elements for a severe test of the rejection of cultural s: , 7als and occurs often enough to yield good statistics in a reasonable time.

Activity on the 100 bps channel is, of course, heavily dependent on the choice of opera: $n g$ constants input to the microcomputer. With an optimum set of cons'ants, the activity le : is between 10 and 20 percent. The same set of constants was used to generate the performance stictistics on noncultural signals reported above. Since the noise environment was intentionally made more
severe (no filtering) than would normally be the case, the rejection of cultural signals should be nearly perfect in most applications.

Since the number of noncultural signals is so small, we tested the buffer swapping procedure with cultural zignals. A set of constants was selected to give a pick on each vehicle signature with a signal-to-noise ratio greater than $\boldsymbol{\sim}$. . The buffer lengths were set for 90 seconds and the system was operated through a complete rush-hour peak ( 150 minutes). The number of vehicle signatures with suitable signal-to-noise ratio was compared to the number of event-detect signals and the number of vehicle signatures in the 100 bps output. Since there are about five signatures per minute at the peak of the rush hour, the system was operating at the saturation level for two buffers. The predicted efficiency is $\mathbf{2 8}$ percent and the observed efficiency was 29 percent. The difference is probably due to the nonrandomness of the time of occurrence of the signatures.

## Design of a Complete Seismic DCP

Figure 13 shows five remote data collection platforms and a central data collection station. This is a basic form of a GOES-based seismic data collection system. Each DCP is event triggered and uses a single DCS channel. The DCP radio sets are small $402-\mathrm{MHz}$ transmitters which have a signal bandwidth corresponding to 100 bits per second.

The DCP required EIRP is 48 dBm to communicate at a biterror rate of $10^{-6}$. A 10 -watt transmitter with an antenna gain of 8 dB is adequate. Figure 14 shows the central station's received signal processing line. This is a low technical risk area since there is nothing unique at the receiver as all components have been proven under operating conditions.


Figure 13. System diagram showing a five DCP network with a central station.

Figure 14. Received signal processing at the central station.

Figure 4 is a blozk diagram of the full DCP. The event-detector output signals to be telemetered along with event waveform are the event-detect signal, direction of first motion, event confidence measure, and number of timing pulses from event first zero crossing until the event-detect signal acknowledges an event nas occurred. The DCP transmitter will be power switched under control of the microprocessor to increase the battery life time.

A complete data collection platform unit would consist of:

1. Seismometer
2. Event detector with dual buffering
3. Transmitter (GOES compatible, 10 watts)
4. Cross-yagi antenna
5. Battery power pack.

A power profile was calculated for six months operation. This profile assumes an average of 12 events per day with the DCP/transmitter operating for 1260 seconds per event ( 180 seconds record and 1080 seconds playback). Also included in the power calculation are the following voltage and current requirements:

| Transmitter (10 watts) | 12.5 volts at 5 mA (milliampore) idle, 2.5 A trans. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Event detector | 12.0 volts at 5 mA |
|  | 5.0 volts at 12 mA |
|  | -5.0 volts at 2 mA. |

Power profile dictates that the battery power pack should have the following capacity:

12 volts at 1900 ampere-hours

5 volts at 430 ampere-hours.

It would be possible to derive the 5 volts from a 12 -volt pack. The estimated cost for a DCP, excluding the seismometer, is:

| Event detector and dual buffers | $\$ 13,500$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Transmitter and antenna | 3,300 |
| Battery pack |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ |

The cost is based on using:

1. Ceramic CMOS integrated circuit packages
2. Dual 108 -kilobit buffers
3. Single unit cost (i.e., no quantity discount)
4. Wirewrap construction.

The cost of the processor could decrease by as much as $\$ 6,000$ in large quantities. This cost savings would appear as lower costs for the CMOS parts, testing, and packaging. Also, printed circuit packaging techniques could be used instead of the more costly wirewrap boards.

The cost of the transmitter and antenna assumes using a HANDAR 524A SMS/GOES data collection platform and a high gain crossed yagi. The HANDAR unit contains a GOES compatible formatter, 10 -watt transmitter, and power conditioning circuitry. The cost shown above is for a single unit procurement. For a large procurement (greater than 10 units) the total cost of a DCP should drop to $\$ 11,000$.

## OPERATION OF AN EVENT LOCATION NETWORK USING THE SEISMIC DCPs

To illustrate the use of the seismic DCP, we examine the implementation of a location network for large events in South America. The purpose of this section is to show how a small network of DCPs will allow the location of potentially damaging earthquakes with sufficient dispatch to allow mobilization of civil disaster forces should the circumstances warrant. This is perhaps the most elementary application of the seismic DCP concept.

The following goals are assigned to the network: locate any earthquake within the Andean region of South America whose body wave magnitude is $\geqslant 5.0$ within two hours. The hypocenter must be located to $\pm 0.1$ degree in latitude and longitude and characterized as shallow, intermediate, or deep focus.

The stations to be implemented were selected from the list of World Wide Standard Seismograph Network (WWSN) and array stations on the South American mainland. For the eight stations shown in Figure 15, a three minute P-S time circle will permit at least three stations to transmit $\mathbf{P}$ and S arrivals for events in the populous part of the western active area. Coverage is not so complete for the less populated areas of western South America (i.e., Tierra del Fuego) and the relatively inactive eastern area of Brazil.

According to a study by Berrocal (1976), stations in continental South America observed 113 events during 1973 with $\mathrm{mb} \geqslant 5.0$ in the region bounded by latitudes $14^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $56^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and longitudes $30^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $90^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. Although fluctuations in this number occur on a yearly basis, the distribution of stations and the quality of data used by Berrocal make it extremely unlikely that any


Figure 15. Eight station network in South America showing three minute $P$ and $S$ range circles.
events with $\mathrm{mb}>5.0$ were missed. Accordingly, the expected data load is about 905 station events per year. This will not tax the capability of any individual seismic DCP.

To avoid transmitting unneeded events, each DCP would calculate a magnitude based on the usual $\log (A / T)$ (amplitude/period) calibrations to decide whether the event should be transmitted. Since the event buffer would have to be held during the calculation, the buffer swapping technique would be needed to avoid mssing an event.

The GOES platform radio sets transmissions may be initiated in three ways: (1) The DCP may be polled by using interrogate channels; (2) the DCP may be activated by an internal timer on a regular basis, one or more times a day; and (3) the DCP may begin transmitting when a sensor threshold has been exceeded. These different modes are called: interrogate, self-timed, or emergency.

After considering the objectives, the "emergency mode" was sclected for the system baseline design. Advantages of the emergency mode over the other operation modes are:

1. Requires a smaller DCP storage capacity. The emergency mode requires 108 kilobits, whereas the other modes require 324 kilobits for transmission on a 6-hour schedule.
2. Requires a shorter playback time and dissipates lower power because the memory is smaller.
3. Central station has near real-time monitoring of events.
4. Requires only one master clock which is located at the central station. This is possible because the DCP delay can be measured during deployment; the transmission time through the GOES satellite system can be accountable, and the time from the event's first zero crossing to event trigger pulse can be determined by the microprocessor and transmitted from the DCP with the event record.

The major disadvantage of the emergency mode is that eight dedicated GOES channels are required versus one channel for the other modes. Also, an inoperative DCP could go unnoticed for several days. A combination mode, in which the DCP returns housekeeping data once every six hours and operates in the emergency mode as well, may be the most desirable.

The receive site requirements are modest. The DCS downlink from GOES is in the $1.7-\mathrm{GHz}$ region. Microwave receiver technology for this kind of application is mature and the antennas are not very costly. Since the GOES spacecraft are in synchronous orbit, the ground antenna need only be positioned one time. Baseband signal processing is simple in the emergency mode since the exact baseband frequency for each DCP is known. A squelched discriminator can be used for each baseband signal with the squelch signal used to alert the data processing equipment.

The data processing requirements are also modest. The basic analysis consists of two phases. In the first phase, the individual bit streams are converted to analog traces, timing information inserted and the traces are displayed for an analyst's evaluation. At the same time, a preliminary hypocenter can be computed from the first arrival times reported by the DCP's and the expected arrival times for other than main P can be marked. S arrivals, where present, would be selected. In the second phase, the analyst's modifications would be used to calculate the final hypocenter and the individual traces would be output in final form. Neither of these tasks requires a particularly sophisticated or expensive computer. A microcomputer with video display, disk pack and hard copy plotter would be sufficient. Purchased now, the required hardware should cost much less than $\$ 20,000$.

The DCPs are intended to operate unattended in the field. The only anticipated need for regular interventions would be the battery changes. This can not be avoided since the high power requirements ( 10 W rf output for 18 minutes per buffer transmission) require high capacity batteries. Such batteries normally can not be recharged by solar panels.

Field setup would include:
a. Seismometer implacement and calibration. The DCP will need the necessary constants for a $\log (\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{T})$ magnitude calculation.
b. Processor activation and checkout. The noise characteristics of the site will determine the digital and analog constants. Since this will vary from site to site a certain amount of "cut and try" will be necessary.
c. Transmitter activation, antenna pointing, and delay measurement. Antenna pointing angles can be calculated beforehand. The individual delay measurements can be made by initiating a transmission at a carefully measured time. The DCP clock, the delay transmission initiation time, and the location of the DCP (to a few meters) can be set by observations of the Global Positioning System satellites during installation and activation.

## SUMMARY

Our development effort has shown that there is no technical risk in building a field worthy seismic DCP. Because of the advent of low power digital and analog electronics (in our case CMOS), a field processor would require only a modest fraction of the total power budget. The power requirements of the DCP are dominated by the transmitter.

The major improvement over previous seismic signal processors is the use of the Allen (1978) event-recognition scheme. In the presence of severe cultural noise, Allen's algorithm proved to be nearly perfect in its rejection of cultural signals. Our implementation on the CDP1802 microprocessor required only modest amounts of ROM and RAM.

The processor could be added to an existing GOES DCP with little difficulty. The digital format and bit rate costs nothing in terms of information content but does require a relatively long period of transmitter activation. The physical size of a field processor would most likely be about one quarter the size of an automobile battery.

Operated in a dedicated network, through GOES, eight seismic DCPs would allow the location of large events ( $\mathrm{mb} \boldsymbol{>} 5.0$ ) in South America within an hour of the event onset. Such a network would not tax the capabilities of the DCP design and would represent no technical risk in its implementation.
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## APPENDIX A OPERATING INSTRUCTIONS

## Operationd Procedure

The following describes the operational procedure for the seismic detector and the procedure to communicate with the system microprocessor via a silent 700 -data terminal.

## Pomer

1. Press power-on switch, located on power chassis, to apply power to seismic detector. Power lamp indicates power is applizd to detector.
2. Switch silent 700 -terminal power on.
3. Switch helical-recorder power on.

## Resent

Press reset switch on seismic detector front panel. This places the microprocessor into initialization state and clears buffer memory. Event detect, record, and playback indicators are in off state.

## Run Utility Prorem

1. Press utility program switch.
2. Depress silent 700-terminal keyboard carriage return key, print head returns to left margin and types an asterisk. The asterisk acknowledges that the microprocessor address pointer is at memory location 0000 . For programming instructions refer to "User Manual for the CDP1802 Cosmac Microprocessor," RCA-MPM-201-B.

## Run Solimic Prorym

1. Place unit into utility program state.
2. Enter master program start address into memory location 0000 by typing keys
$!\mathrm{M} 0000 \quad \mathrm{CO1000}$
3. To verify, start address is stored into microprocessor memory type.

> ?MONO
3.
4. Terminal response is to type

0000
C010
00.
5. Press micro reset switch to ensure microprocessor is in initialization state.
6. Press program start switch to initiate Rex Allen's seismic program.

Seismic program will operate between two states (test and verify) without additional co :rolling. When an event is verified, the event indicator is turned "on" for approximately one second and the record indicator is turned "on" and remairs on until memory buffer number one is filled.

Buffer filling timing is predetermined by the status of switch E28 which is located on memory board (see Sheet 7). Filling time can be set from 10.2 to 153.6 seconds. (See Table A-1 for available record times.) After the buffer is filled, the record indsator goes out and the playback indicator is tumed on. Playback time is six times record time. If a second event occurs while buffer one is in playbick state, the event is stored in buffer two and both the record and playback indicators are energized; buffer two will play back after buffer one has completed its piayback.

Table A-1
Buffer Memory Record and Playback
Times and Switch Position

| Switch Position | Time, Seconds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Record | Playback |
| 2 | 10.24 | 61.44 |
| 3 | 30.72 | 184.32 |
| 4 | 51.2 | 307.2 |
| 5 | 71.68 | 430.08 |
| 6 | 92.16 | 552.96 |
| 7 | 112.64 | 675.84 |
| 8 | 133.12 | 798.72 |

## Program Constants

Constants $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ through $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ are entered into program when microprocessor is in initialization state (reset). The programmed constants remain unchanged until the constant switch position is altered and the unit is reset. Table A-2 relates the constant switch positions to program value.

## Event Statistics

Event peak vaikes and time of occurrence refereneed to first zero crossing are stored in the RAM memory. Memory location 0035 : ores the number of peaks. Memory location starting at 0100 stores the time and peak values. There are three paired hexidecimal words per detected peak with the following format:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \text { paired hex words }
\end{aligned}
$$

Table A-2

| Gain, $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ |  | Weight, $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ |  | Short Ave, C 3 |  | Long Ave, $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Value | Code | Value | Code | Value | Code | Value |
| 0000 | 0.5 | 0000 | 0 | 00000 | 0.2 | 00000 | 0.005 |
| 0001 | 0.6 | 0001 | 0.15 | 00001 | 0.225 | 00001 | 0.0075 |
| 0010 | 0.7 | 0010 | 0.3 | 00010 | 0.25 | 00010 | 0.01 |
| 0011 | 0.8 | 0011 | 0.45 | 00011 | 0.275 | 00011 | 0.0125 |
| 0100 | 0.9 | 0100 | 0.6 | 00100 | 0.3 | 00100 | 0.0175 |
| 0101 | 1.0 | 0101 | 0.75 | 00101 | 0.325 | 00101 | 0.02 |
| 0110 | 1.1 | 0110 | 0.9 | 00110 | 0.35 | 00110 | 0.0225 |
| 0111 | 1.2 | 0111 | 1.0 | 00111 | 0.375 | 00111 | 0.025 |
| 1000 | 1.3 | 1000 | 1.05 | 01000 | 0.4 | 01000 | 0.0275 |
| 1001 | 1.4 | 1001 | 1.20 | 01001 | 0.425 | 01001 | 0.03 |
| 1010 | 1.5 | 1010 | 1.35 | 01010 | 0.45 | 01010 | 0.0325 |
|  |  | 1011 | 1.50 | 01011 | 0.475 | 01011 | 0.035 |
|  |  | 1100 | 1.65 | 01100 | 0.5 | 01100 | 0.0375 |
|  |  | 1101 | 1.80 | 01101 | 0.525 | 01101 | 0.04 |
| Threshold, $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ |  | 1110 | 1.95 | 01110 | 0.55 | 01110 | 0.0425 |
|  |  |  |  | 01111 | 0.575 | 01111 | 0.045 |
| Code | Value |  |  | 10000 | 0.6 | 10000 | 0.0475 |
| 00 | 4 |  |  | 10001 | 0.625 | 10001 | 0.05 |
| 01 | 5 |  |  | 10010 | 0.65 |  |  |
| 10 | 6 |  |  | 10011 | 0.675 |  |  |
| 11 | 7 |  |  | 10100 | 0.7 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10101 | 0.725 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10110 | 0.75 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10111 | 0.775 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 11000 | 0.8 |  |  |

where $n$ is the value recorded in location 0035, and i references i peak and runs from 0 to $n$. The event statistics can be obtained without disturbing the contents in the buffer. The procedures to be used to enter into utility run is made by using the micro reset switch. Events statistics have to be obtained from memory before the program goes into another test sequence.

## Helical Recorder

Refer to Sprengnether VR-60 helical recorder operation manual for operation, calibration, and maintenance procedures.

This is a three-channel recorder. Channel one records the real-time signal that has been amplified and filters to 50 hertz. Channel two records the event-detect signal which is binary. Channel three records the delayed playback signal, which is $1 / 6$ the rate of channel one signal. Channel three signal has been digitized, stored, and played back at the GOES channel rate of 100 bits per second. This signal is converted back to an analog signal before it is sent to the helical recorder.

## Event Detection Program Flow

1. Initialize and reset flags

Read constants ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5}$ )
$i=1$
2. Input digital data $R_{1}, i=i+1$
(1) convert to 2 's complement
(2) $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{*} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$
(3) Calculations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{i}=C_{2} *\left(R_{i}-R_{i-1}\right) \\
& E_{1}=R_{i}^{2}+\Delta R_{i}^{2} \\
& \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{i=1}+C_{3} *\left(E_{i}-\alpha_{i-1}\right) \\
& \beta_{i}=\beta_{i-1}+C_{4} *\left(E_{i}-\beta_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Completed 2 second average?
(i.e., $\mathrm{i} \geqslant 200$ )
0.1 t Go to 4
0.2 f Go to 2
4. Compute reference level ( $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathbf{i}}$ )

$$
\gamma_{i}=C_{5} * \beta_{i}
$$

5. Short term average abruptly increased?
(i.e., $\alpha_{1}>\beta_{1}$ )
0.1 t Go to 6

## 0.2 f Go to 2

6. Save potential hit onset values
$0.1 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{i}$
C. $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$
$0.3 \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$
$0.4 \mathrm{M}=1$
$0.5 \mathrm{~S}=0$
7. Save provisional peak
$P=\left|R_{1}\right|$
8. Input digital data, $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1$
0.1 Convert to 2's complement
$0.2 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{*} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$
0.3 Celculations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}=C_{2} *\left(R_{1}-R_{i-1}\right) \\
& E_{1}=R_{1}^{2}+\Delta R_{1}^{2} \\
& \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{1-1}+C_{3} *\left(E_{1}-\alpha_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

9. Zero crossing?
(i.e., $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}=0$ )
0.1 Go to 11
0.2 f Go to 10
10. $\left|\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{I}}\right|>\mathrm{P}$ ?
0.1 t To to 7
0.2 f Go to 8
11. 128 zero crossings recorded?
(i.e., $M>128$ )
0.1 t Go to 13
0.2 f Go to 12
12. Record zero crossing
$0.1 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{M}}=\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}$
$0.2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{P}$
$0.3 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{M}+1$
13. Has 2 seconds passed since potential hit?
(i.e., $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}} \geqslant 200$ )
0.1 Go to 14
0.2 f Go to 8
14. More than 40 zero crossings?
(i.e., $M>A 0$ )
0.1 Go to 15
0.2 f Go to 2
15. Declare significant event (set $Q$ ), and compute continuation criterion $\sigma=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{M}\right)$
16. $\alpha_{1} \geqslant \sigma$ ?
0.1 t $\mathbf{S}=0$, reset small count counter
$0.2 \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{S}+1$
$0.3 \mathrm{~L}=4+\mathrm{M} / 4$, value of S at which event is over
17. Is the event over?
(i.e., $S>$ L)
0.1 Go to 18
0.2 f Go to 8
18. Declare event over (reset Q )
$0.1 \mathrm{i}=0$
0.2 Go to 2


| 0003 | 0049 | TEMP=1139 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0003 1 | 0050 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0003 ( | 0051 | CONT=341 |  |  |  |  |
| 0003 | 0052 | GDF=845 |  |  |  |  |
| 0003 | 0053 | H1TS=1147 |  |  |  |  |
| 0003 | 0054 | TIMESE40100 |  |  |  |  |
| 0003 | 0055 | HTIMES=00400 |  |  |  |  |
| 00031 | 0056 | READ=niEAO $\quad .$. READ SUBROUTINE |  |  |  |  |
| 0003 | 0057 | MPLY=\#12C0 .. MPLY SUEROUTIME |  |  |  |  |
| 0003 | 0058 | MEAT $=13130$ |  |  |  |  |
| 0003 | 0059 | - |  |  |  |  |
| 0003 ; | 0060 | . |  |  |  |  |
| 0003 : | 0061 | .. * GERM ** MAIN PRDGRAM |  |  |  |  |
| 0003 ; | 0062 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0003 | 0063 | . |  |  |  |  |
| 0003 : | 0064 | . |  |  |  |  |
| 0003 | 0065 | . |  |  |  |  |
| 0003 : | 0066 |  | ORG | 31000 | . . Star | ADDRESS |
| $1000 \mathrm{F807} \mathrm{\%}$ | 0067 |  | LII | GERMA | . . |  |
| 1002 AF | 0068 |  | PLD | RF |  |  |
| 1003 F810\% | 0069 |  | LDI | A. 1 (GERMA) | . |  |
| 1005 BF: | 0070 |  | PHI | RF | . |  |
| 1006 DF; | 0071 |  | SEF | RF | . |  |
| 1007 F808: | 0072 |  | LDI | C1x |  |  |
| 1009 A73 | 0073 |  | PLD | R7 | . |  |
| 100 A F8006 | 0074 | LDI |  | 0 |  |  |
| 100 CEF | 0075 | PHISEX |  | R7 | . |  |
| 100 DEF | 0076 |  |  | R7 | $\cdots$ |  |
| 100 EF 6 | 0077 |  | JMF | 7 | REA | E1x |
| 100 F FROF; | 0078 |  | GNISTR |  | :0F | . . |  |
| 1011573 | 00779 |  |  |  | RT |  |  |
| 1012 FE ; | 0080 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1013 FC00: | 0081 |  |  | C1T .. | LODK UF' |  |
| 1015 A8: | 0082 |  |  | R8 |  |  |
| 1016 F817\% | 0083 |  |  | H. 1 (C1T) |  |  |
| $101888 ;$ | 0084 | PHI |  | RE |  |  |
| 1019488 | 0085 | LDA |  | R8 .. | SAVE C1 |  |
| 101m $60 ;$ | 0086 |  |  | - . |  |  |
| 101 EF ; | 0087 | STR |  | R7 |  |  |
| $101 \mathrm{C} 08:$ | 0088 | (1) | LINH | R8 |  |  |
| $101560 \%$ | 0089 |  | 12\% |  | - |  |
| 101 E 57 | 0090 | 析 | STR | R 7 .. |  |  |
| 101F F814; | 0091 | ( | LDI | cs x | - WANT | CSH |
| 1021 A7: | 0092 |  | FLD | $\mathrm{F7}$. |  |  |
| 102 Ec 60: | 0093 |  | INF | 4 - . | READ 5 |  |
| 1023 FAOF: | 0094 |  | FiN] | :0F |  |  |
| 1025 F9: | 0095 |  | FLD | R9 |  |  |
| 102657 | 0096 |  | STR | F7 |  |  |
| 1027 FA03; | 0097 |  | ANI | 3 |  |  |
| $10 \mathrm{CLF}^{\text {FCEE }}$ | 0095 |  | fill | CST |  |  |


| 1028 | AB: | 0099 | PLO | R8 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10{ }^{\text {c }}$ C | 083 | 0100 | LDH | F\% | -• |
| 102 L | 608 | 0101 | $18 \%$ |  | .. |
| 102 E | 571 | 0102 | STR | ET | .. SAVE CS |
| 102 F | 601 | 0103 | 1 EX |  |  |
| 1030 | F800: | 0104 | LDI | 0 |  |
| 1032 | 571 | 0105 | STR | R7 | - |
| 1033 | F808: | 0106 | LDI | C2X | $\cdots$ |
| 1035 | AT: | 0107 | PLD | R 7 | - |
| 1036 | 69\% | 0108 | INP | 1 | . . FERD Ee\%: |
| 1037 | faúa | 0109 | mill | 307 | . |
| 1039 | 573 | 0110 | STP | R2? | - |
| 103 A | FE! | 0111 | SHL |  |  |
| 1038 | FC16 | 0112 | ADI | çT | - |
| 103 D | A8; | 0113 | PLD | R8 | - |
| 103 E | 485 | 0114 | LDA | R8 | . . save ge |
| 103 F | 603 | 0115 | 18× |  | -. |
| 1040 | 571 | 0116 | STR | R7 | $\cdots$ |
| 1041 | 603 | 0117 | 1RX |  | - |
| 1042 | 089 | 0118 | LDN | R8 | $\cdots$ |
| 1043 | 57\% | 0119 | STR | R 7 | . |
| 1044 | 608 | 0120 | IRX |  | $\cdots$ |
| 1045 | 6A: | 0121 | INF | ¿ | READ 53 L |
| 1046 | FAOF: | 012 E | GNI | \# 0 F | .. |
| 1048 | 571 | 0123 | STR | R7 | . |
| 1049 | 893 | 01 ¢ 4 | GLD | Re | $\cdots$ |
| 104 A | FA04: | 0125 | ANI | 4 | - |
| 104 C | FE: | 0126 | SHL |  | . |
| 104D | FE! | 01 c ? | SHL |  | - |
| 104 E | F1: | 01 č8 | QR |  | - |
| 104 F | FE; | 0129 | SHL |  |  |
| 1050 | FC36: | 0130 | HIII | CST | - |
| 1052 | A8! | 0131 | PLD | R8 | $\cdots$ |
| 1053 | 481 | 0132 | LIf | W8 | . AVEES |
| 1054 | 603 | 0133 | IRX |  | . . |
| 1055 | 575 | 0134 | STR | R\% | - |
| 1056 | 08: | 0135 | L! ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | F8 | - |
| 1057 | 608 | 0136 | IPX |  |  |
| 1058 | 57: | 0137 | STR | 87 |  |
| 1059 | 60; | 0138 | IRX |  | - RT= ADIL C4X |
| 105 A | 6E; | 0139 | INP | 3 | .. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 105 B | FAOF: | 0140 | ANI | \% ${ }^{\text {OF }}$ | REALING CAX |
| 1050 | 57 | 0141 | -TR | k 7 | - |
| 105 E | 898 | 0142 | GLO | R9 | . |
| 105 F | FASG: | 0143 | Flld | 8 | $\cdots$ |
| 1061 | FE; | 0144 | SHL |  | . |
| 1062 | F13 | 0145 | de |  | $\cdots$ |
| 1063 | FE; | 0146 | SHL |  |  |
| 10.4 | FC6: | 0147 | fill | C4T | $\cdots$ |
| 1066 | AB: | 0148 | FLD | FE |  |


| $10-6451$ | 61＋ | LUA | ＂S | ．．SMvE is |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 \mathrm{~m}=018$ | 0150 | $12 \%$ |  |  |
| 119．45： | 4151 | － 9 | $\mathrm{B} / 7$ |  |
| 100．4 yst | 415\％ | LDH． | ES |  |
| 10－t ent | 015 | 1F\％ |  |  |
| 1065 | 0134 | E15 | Pi |  |
| $10 ¢ 5=80 \%$ | 615\％ | LDI | L＊ |  |
| 1096448 | 0156 | FLO | 54 |  |
| 210\％E51\％ | $1115 \%$ | LH1 | Alfa |  |
| $1072{ }^{2} 5$ | W1 $\mathrm{E}_{5}$ | clo | Fe． | ． |
| 10－2 E611： | 015 | LDI | EETA | ．． |
| 1119 me： | 0160 | ELO | Fos | ．． |
| 10 O ¢ 5 \％ | 9151 | 611 | teme | ． |
| 107548 | 1182 | FLD | 5 |  |
| $10^{-2} \mathrm{~F}$ Fsons | 015 | LW | 0 |  |
| 1078 54： | 0164 | OHI | \％ 4 |  |
| 10－6 E\％： | O1es | FHI | 0 |  |
|  | 0150 | FHI | 5 |  |
| 1095 ET： | 918 | PHI | 07 |  |
| 1 ORF E38 | H03 | FHI | Es | ． |
| 1080 EPO | 018 | HHI | 0 |  |
| $10^{1} \mathrm{E}$ | 91－9 | FHI | $5:$ | －＊ |
|  | 0171 | FiLO | Fis | ．．1＝0 |
| 1035 5\％： | O1FE | ：Tr | F．5 |  |
| 10 E 4 Sc | 0173 | TE | Fe |  |
| 10¢E Es： | 0174 | IE： | F\％ |  |
| 105\％ers | 015 | DEC | Fer |  |
| 105－554 | 117e | ：TF | FES |  |
| $10 \% 550$ | U175 | Te | 06 |  |
| 1084150 | 0173 | INT． | Fer |  |
| 10：4 1－1 | 0159 | 146 | k |  |
| 10EE FSMG： | 0180 | LH］ | CEAL | ． |
| 10E0 ME： | a131 | ＋60 | Hes | ．． |
| 108E FEJご | M13e | LUI | H．1，FEETI） |  |
| 10゙11 EF： | 0123 | FHI | FFE | ． |
| 1041＝－ 0 | 0184 | LHI | MFL＇ |  |
| 1042 ${ }^{\text {at：}}$ | 0135 | FLO | W－ |  |
| 100＋ OL 10 | 0130 | LII | H．1．MFL\％ | ． |
| 1日ジ | 0137 | FHI | Fi： | － |
| 10\％FS＋！ | 4158 | LHI | MEHT |  |
|  | Q193 | FLO |  |  |
| $10 \pm 4813$ |  | LH | H． 1 ITENT． |  |
| 11H0 EE： | Q191 | firl | FE ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | $\cdots$ |
| 10 ar FES48 | 119 | LH | GLF | ． |
| 10\％F A\＃： | 019 | FLD | $\stackrel{+}{4}$ |  |
| 1040 Estas | 11.194 | LH1 | 0 |  |
| 1 HE E ¢ | 0.195 | TF | $\cdots$ |  |
| $104: 74$ | 1019 | E0 |  | ．．fe：et eqeidt detect |
| 10न4 E4： | 91\％ | E\％ | 6.4 | ．．く＊FAE HIJいLE． |
| 109E UE： | 119 | ：EF | FE | ．¢mll ferincf． |


|  | 013 | $16 \times$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 194\％E4t | 9060 | LDJFM | \％＇4 |  |
| 1048 ［16． | Me91 | EF | F\％ | ifll eeftig． |
| 1 lin － | OE0． | EF | HE | Gull Ment |
| 19 man ［ic： | 920： | EP | $5 \cdot$ |  |
| 10ME：JE： | 0e94 | EF | $\cdots$ |  |
| 1040 Din！ | Was | EF | W |  |
| 1 OAL DE： | Heve | ：EF | － |  |
| 104 E dr： | MEM | E | $8 \cdot$ |  |
| 109F DE： | 0＇0： | EP | －${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| $10800^{2} 0^{2}$ | 0E0． | EF | Fi： |  |
| 108．${ }^{\text {DE }}$ | 0210 | ：Ef | ¢E |  |
| 10EE Lu： | QE11 | Ef | 0 |  |
| 1083 TE | HE12 | EF | ef |  |
| 108458 | 0き13 | L［1］ | CHAE | ．．CDMFUTE EETM |
| 10 EC H9： | Qel 14 | elo | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| 10 ET 90： | 9els | Lint | н் |  |
| 10E\％Fs： | acie | 1 |  |  |
| 10 EF 57 | 0e15 | St | WT |  |
| 1084 ET： | 023 | LEG | Ei |  |
| 10EE 29 | 0219 | IEC | F |  |
| 10 EV Est | Qce\％ | UEC | FH |  |
| 1085468 | $0 ¢ \mathrm{Cl}$ | LIH | F |  |
| 19EE FEFF： | 9こご | SF1 | ： FF |  |
| 1000 －4： | Qce？ | MIIC： |  | － |
| 16\％1 5\％ | 9Eこ4 | ：10 | 07 | － |
| tiot 17： | 192こ | IN1： | Fi | ． |
|  | 0Eこと | 6 11 | 64 |  |
| 1 105 | 98 | FLD | F\％ |  |
| 106\％ 7 F | 0ごる | L LiA | F\％ |  |
| $100 \%$ 84： | 0 Cc | E．H1 | 5 H |  |
| 1065 \％ | 9es | LINT | 5 |  |
| 10：Аヵ： | 0 | c．LO | Fir |  |
| 10：H Etil： | OEs | L［1］ | TEMFE |  |
|  | 903 | F．LD | F | ． |
| 10.0 ［10： | 1954 | ：EF | HC | ．Gfal mflitemfer |
|  | 4ess | LINH | He |  |
| 106E 6 ： | OES | － 10 |  |  |
| 1000808 | 9\％ | ¢1F | HE |  |
| 1001 Ee： | Mes | DEC | 50 |  |
| 10 E Es | 083 | UE： | 6 |  |
| 10 LS 9e： | पe4： | Lill | F\％ |  |
| 1014 －48 | DE41 | HIT： |  |  |
| 1 15¢ $6 \%$ | 0－4 | ：if | 56 | ．．EETA EETA＋ |
| 10 cos 10： | $0 \cdot 43$ | IN： | Fe． | ．．E－4ighifereta． |
| 10140 | ME゙4 | LInt | 6.4 |  |
| 145 E E 4 | 9 Cb | LIE： | F4 |  |
| $101 \%$ E4： | $0 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{C}$ | －14 | Fi4 | ．．LFizb |
| $10 \mathrm{TH4} 14$. | $00^{4}$ | 114： | F． 4 | ．．Ftr Ahfic． |
| 10LE：5sts： | Mes | LII | 5 SF |  |


| 10 HE | Aes | 0249 |  | flo | R9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 DE | 091 | 0250 |  | LINT | 09 |  |
| 10 DF | 3AES： | 0251 |  | EN2 | LOOK |  |
| 10 E 1 | 934 | 0252 |  | GLO | \％3 |  |
| 10 E 2 | FInc： | $00^{3} 3$ |  | 201 | 200 |  |
| 10 E 4 | 35：04＇ | 0 0654 |  | BN2 | LDOPA |  |
| 10 ES | F801： | $00^{255}$ |  | L01 | 1 | I＝ 2000.00000 |
| 10 E 8 | 598 | 02Es |  | STP | Hos | ．．GDF＝ 1 |
| 10 E | 1 | $00^{5}$ | － |  |  |  |
| 10 E 9 | 1 | 0258 | ． |  |  |  |
| 10 ES | Fgeil | 0259 | Loak： | L［1 | gamma | ．．galchlate gamma |
| 1 OEF | H8： | 0260 |  | PLD | Fe | ．． |
| 10 EC | FE15： | 0261 |  | LD1 | cs | ． |
| 10 EE | A 91 | 9E6z |  | PLO | F 9 |  |
| 10 EF | 096 | 0263 |  | LDHI | R9 |  |
| 10 O | BAI | 0264 |  | $\mathrm{FH}]$ | FA |  |
| 1 OF 1 | F8008 | $00^{0} 6$ |  | LH］ | 0 |  |
| 10 F 3 | AA： | 0266 |  | FLD | FA |  |
| 1054 | 068 | 0267 |  | LIN | Re |  |
| 10 FS | 57： | 0 068 |  | Ste | $\mathrm{R} \cdot$ | ． |
| 10 Fe | 27： | 0269 |  | IEC | RT | $\cdots$ |
| 1057 | 26： | 92－0 |  | DEC | F6． | $\cdots$ |
| 10 F 8 | 468 | 0271 |  | LIA | Fe | $\cdots$ |
| 10 F ？ | 57： | $0 \mathrm{OC72}$ |  | STF | R 7 | $\cdots$ |
| 1 OFA | 171 | $0 \times 73$ |  | INC | F ？ | $\cdots$ |
| 10 FE | DC： | $0 \mathrm{0c7}$ |  | SEF | FC | ．．Gfll mplycisabeta， |
| 1 OFC | 058 | 0 O 75 |  | LIN | H | ．．Gali gammitalfa |
| 10 FD | F5： | 0276 |  | 51 |  | $\cdots$ |
| 1 OFE | 254 | 0ers |  | DEC | 05 |  |
| 10 FF | Cgi | 0278 |  | DEC | Res | ．． |
| 1100 | 458 | 0279 |  | Lint | FS |  |
| 1101 | FEFF： | 0280 |  | REI | ：：FF | － |
| 1103 | 748 | 0281 |  | Hide |  | ． |
| 1104 | FE： | 0282 |  | SHL |  | ．．GET こIGM |
| 1105 | CR1047： | 0283 |  | LEMF | LIOFA | ．ALFA＝GMMMA ：MD |
| 1108 | F935； | 0e84 |  | LII | M | ．．＇iEs．HÃV FOSE．HIT |
| 110 A | Fi9： | Oと8 |  | fla | F9 |  |
| 1198 | F904： | 0886 |  | LII | － | $\cdots$ |
| 1101 | Fe： | 0287 |  | flo | Fa | ． |
| 110 E | Fg00： | 0288 |  | LHI | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| 1110 | HD： | 0289 |  | FLD | FII |  |
| 1111 | ED： | $00^{9} 0$ |  | FHI | EII | ． |
| 1112 | 81： | 0291 |  | PHI | F1 | ． |
| 1113 | E2： | 029\％ |  | FHI | Fic | ． |
| 1114 | $59:$ | $00^{0} 3$ |  | ETF | F9\％ |  |
| 1115 | 58： | 0294 |  | ：1F | Fs | ．．：$=$ |
| 1116 | F900： | 0.95 |  | LHI | times | ．．こhve hit time |
| 1118 | F1： | 0296 |  | PLD | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |  |
| 1110 | F801： | 0 0 5 | Lil | H． 1 time | E． |  |
| 1118 | H1； | 0298 | FHI |  |  |  |


| 111 C | 931 | 0299 |  | GHI | R3 | . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1110 | 513 | 0300 |  | STR | R1 | - |  |
| 111 E | 11: | 0301 |  | IMC | R1 | - |  |
| $111 F$ | $83 ;$ | 0302 |  | GLI | R3 | . |  |
| 1120 | 51; | 0303 |  | STR | R1 | . | TIMES (0) $=1$ |
| 1121 | 11; | 0304 | INC | R1 |  |  |  |
| 1122 | 04; | 0305 | LDM | R4 |  |  |  |
| 1123 | 51: | 0306 | STR | R1 |  |  |  |
| 1124 | F825; | 0307 |  | LDI | DELTA | . | SAVE HIT SLDPE |
| 1126 | A8: | 0308 |  | PLD | R8 | - |  |
| 1127 | F82D; | 0309 |  | LDI | DELTAH | $\cdots$ |  |
| 1129 | R9; | 0310 |  | PLD | R9 |  |  |
| 112 | F0; | 0311 |  | LDX |  |  |  |
| 1128 | 59: | 0312 |  | STR | R9 | $\cdots$ |  |
| 112 C | 28: | 0313 |  | DEC | R8 | - |  |
| 1120 | 29; | 0314 |  | IEC | R9 | - |  |
| 112 E | F0; | 0315 |  | LDK |  | - |  |
| 112 F | 59; | 0316 |  | STR | R9 | . | DELTAN= DELTA |
| 1130 | F831: | 0317 |  | LII | PP | . | SAVE PROV. PEAK |
| 1132 | A8; | 0318 |  | PLD | R8 | - |  |
| 1133 | 04: | 0319 |  | LDN | R.4 | . | SAVE PROY. PEFJ |
| 1134 | FE; | 0320 |  | SHL |  |  |  |
| 1135 | 333A: | 0321 |  | EDF | NEGB | -. |  |
| 1137 | 769 | 0322 |  | SHRC |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| 1138 | 30130; | 03 S |  | be | FOSC | - |  |
| 113 A | 76: | 0324 | NEGB: | SHRC: |  | . |  |
| 1138 | FDO0; | 0325 |  | SII | 0 | . |  |
| 113 D | 56; | 0326 | FISC: | STR | R8 | $\cdots$ | $\mathrm{PF}=\mathrm{ABS}$ (R) |
| 113 E | E4; | 0327 | LDDFE: | SE\% | R. 4 | $\cdots$ |  |
| 113 F | DE; | 0328 |  | SEF | RR | $\cdots$ | CALL REAII (R) |
| 1140 | DE; | 0329 |  | SEP | RE | - | Chll meft |
| 1141 | IIC: | 0330 |  | SEP | RC: |  |  |
| 1142 | DE; | 0331 |  | SEF | RE |  |  |
| 1143 | Inc: | 0332 |  | SEP | RC | . |  |
| 1144 | DE; | 0333 |  | SEF | R.E | $\cdots$ |  |
| 1145 | ILC; | 0354 |  | SEP | FC | $\cdots$ |  |
| 1146 | TE: | 0335 |  | SEP | BE | $\cdots$ |  |
| 1147 | TC: | 0336 |  | SEP | RE | $\cdots$ |  |
| 1148 | IE; | 0337 |  | SEP | RE | - |  |
| 1149 | DC: | 0338 |  | SEF | RC | - |  |
| 114 A | DE; | 0339 |  | SEF | RE | - |  |
| 114 E | $04:$ | 0340 |  | LIN | R4 | $\cdots$ |  |
| 114 C | 3261; | 0341 |  | EL | ZERDX: | - | 0 CROSS 7 YES |
| 114 E | FE; | 0342 |  | SHL |  |  | ND, GET ABS(R) |
| 114 F | 3354; | 0343 |  | FILF | MEEC |  |  |
| 1151 | 76 | 0344 |  | SHRC |  |  |  |
| 1152 | 3057; | 0345 |  | ER | FOSI |  |  |
| 1154 | 76; | 0346 | NEGC: | SHEC |  | - |  |
| 1155 | FDob; | 0347 |  | SII | 0 |  |  |
| 1157 | A9: | 0348 | POSI: | FLD | 89 | - |  |


| 1158 | F831; | 0.349 |  | LDI | PP | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 115 A | H8: | 0350 |  | PLD | R8 |  |  |
| 115B | 893 | 0351 |  |  | R9 | . |  |
| 1150 | F7: | 0352 |  | SM |  |  |  |
| 115 D | 3330; | 0353 |  | BFZ | FOSC |  | HES (6) PFP 7 YES |
| 115 F | 303E; | 0354 |  | ER | LOCFE |  | ND, GET MEXT F |
| 1161 | ; | 0355 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1161 | ; | 0356 | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1161 | ; | 0357 | . |  |  |  |  |
| 1161 | : | 0358 | . |  |  |  |  |
| 1161 | 91: | 0359 | ZERDX: | EHI | RII | . | 2EFD CROSSTMG |
| 1162 | 3A7E; | 0360 |  | EMZ | 2ERDA |  | SAVED ENDUGH ? YEs |
| 1164 | $93 ;$ | 0361 |  | $\mathrm{BHI}^{\text {che }}$ | 83 | - | NO |
| 1165 | 11; | 0362 | INC | F1 |  |  |  |
| 1166 | $51 ;$ | 0363 |  | STR | R1 | - |  |
| 1167 | 11; | 0.364 |  | IHC | R1 | - |  |
| 1168 | $83 ;$ | 0365 |  | GLD | F3 | - |  |
| 1169 | $51 ;$ | 0366 |  | STR | R1 | - | TIMES (M) = I |
| 116 A | 11; | 0367 |  | INT, | R1 | - |  |
| 116 F | F831: | 0368 |  | LII | FP | - |  |
| 116 I | H8; | 0369 |  | FLD | Res | - |  |
| 116 E | 0:5 | 0370 |  | LIN4 | K8 | . |  |
| 116 F | 51: | 0371 | STR | R1 |  |  |  |
| 1170 | F835; | 0372 |  | LIII | 11 | - |  |
| 1172 | A9; | 0373 |  | FLD | F9 | - |  |
| 1173 | 99; | 0374 |  | LDH | F9 | - |  |
| 1174 | FC01: | 0375 |  | ALI | 1 | - |  |
| 1176 | 59 | 0376 |  | STR | RE | . | $M=M+1$ |
| 1177 | FIVF; | 0377 |  | SUI | 127 | - |  |
| 1179 | 3APE: | 0378 | EH2 | EERAA |  |  |  |
| 1178 | FS01: | 0379 |  | LII | 1 |  |  |
| 117 I | EIT: | 0380 |  | FHI | RI |  |  |
| 117 E | 31AE; | 0881 | ZERQA: | E0 | EHICK |  |  |
| 1180 | 1 I | 0382 |  | INS | RII |  |  |
| 1181 | 8D: | 0383 |  | ELD | RD | $\cdots$ |  |
| 1182 | FDCs: | 0334 |  | SII | E00 | $\bullet$ |  |
| 1184 | 3RE: | 0365 |  | EM | LIDFE |  | 200 SEC FASSEI 7 Na |
| 1186 | F835: | 0386 |  | LII | 1 |  | YES |
| 1188 | H9; | 0188 |  | FLD | R9 | - |  |
| 1189 | 09: | 0368 |  | LINH | RG |  |  |
| 118 H | FDes; | 0389 |  | SII | 40 |  |  |
| 1180 | FE; | 0390 | SHL |  |  |  |  |
| 118 I | C31047: | 0991 | LEIIF | LIDEA |  |  |  |
| 1190 | 78; | 0392 |  | SEQ |  |  | YIS, SET FLAG |
| 1191 | F847; | 0303 |  | LII | HITS |  | ShVE HIT TIME |
| 1193 | HE; | 0894 |  | FLD | Fe |  |  |
| 1194 | 69: | 0995 |  | LINH | R9 | - |  |
| 1195 | FE: | 0396 |  | SHL |  |  |  |
| 1196 | FCOO: | 0397 |  | AIII | HTIMES | - |  |
| 1198 | he: | 0398 | FLI | Re |  |  |  |


| 1199 | F804； | 0399 | LII | A． 1 （HTI | MES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1198 | BC： | 0400 | PHI | Re |  |  |
| 119 | F800： | 0401 |  | LDI | TIMES | －• |
| 119 E | A9： | 0402 |  | PLD | $R 9$ |  |
| $119 F$ | 49： | 0400 |  | LIA | F 5 | － |
| 11 A0 | 52； | 0404 | STR | R2 |  |  |
| 11 Al | 12： | 0405 | IME： | R2 |  |  |
| 11 AP | 09： | 0406 |  | LDN | R9 |  |
| 11 A3 | 5ᄅ： | 0407 | STR | Re |  |  |
| 1194 | F847： | 0408 |  | LDI | HITS |  |
| 1176 | A9： | 0409 |  | PLD | R9 |  |
| 1147 | 09； | 0410 |  | LDN | R 9 |  |
| 1178 | FC01： | 0411 |  | AIII | 1 |  |
| 11 AR | 59： | 0412 |  | STR． | R9 | ．HITS $=$ HITS＋1 |
| 11 HB | F841： | 0413 | EMDCK： | LDI | COHT | ．ELMFUTE M＊＊E |
| 11 AD | A8： | 0414 |  | FLD | R8 |  |
| 11 AE | F835： | 0415 |  | LII | M | ＊ |
| 1180 | A9： | 0416 |  | PLD | R9 |  |
| 1181 | 095 | 0417 |  | LINH | $R 9$ | －• |
| 1182 | BH： | 0418 |  | PHI | RA |  |
| 1153 | 575 | 1：419 |  | STR | R 7 | － |
| $11 \mathrm{B4}$ | $27 ;$ | 0420 |  | DEC | R7 |  |
| 1185 | F800： | 0421 |  | LIII | 0 | －－ |
| 11 B ？ | AF： | 0422 |  | PLD | R H |  |
| 1188 | 57 | 0423 |  | ：TR | RT | $\cdots$ |
| 1189 | 17\％ | 0424 |  | 1HC | R 7 |  |
| 11 BA | DC： | 0425 |  | SEP | Ft | －－ |
| 11 EE | FSE1： | 04 E6 |  | LII | GEMPMA |  |
| 11 ED | A9： | 04こ7 |  | FLD | R 9 | － |
| 11 BE | 09： | 0428 |  | LDN | F9 |  |
| 11 BF | F4： | 0429 |  | H10 |  | － |
| 1100 | 73； | 0430 |  | STXI |  |  |
| 1161 | 29： | 0431 |  | DEC | R9 | －－ |
| 11 CE | 74； | 04330 |  | ALIS： |  |  |
| 1103 | 58； | 0433 |  | STR | R8 | ．CDFMT $=$ GHMMA + M +2 |
| 11.4 | 60； | 0434 |  | IRX |  |  |
| 115 | F804： | 0435 |  | LII | S | －• |
| 1107 | A9： | 0436 |  | PLD | $R 9$ | ＊ |
| 1108 | 05： | 0437 |  | LIN | RS |  |
| 116 | F5； | 14：38 |  | 5 |  | －• |
| 11 CA | 25： | 0435 |  | IEE | F5 |  |
| 11 EE | ご； | 0440 |  | IEE： | Re | －• |
| 110 C | $45 ;$ | 0441 |  | LIA | R 5 |  |
| 11ED | FBFF： | 0442 |  | XRI | \％FF | －• |
| 11：F | 74： | 0443 |  | HIIT： |  |  |
| 1150 | FE： | 0444 |  | SHL |  | － |
| 1111 | 3F\％： | 0445 |  | EIIF | SSET |  |
| 1113 | 09： | 0446 |  | LIIH | P9 | －• |
| 1114 | FCO1： | 0447 |  | HII | 1 |  |
| 1115 | 59： | 0443 |  | STP | Fig | ．$S=S+1$ |


| 1107 | F835； | 0445 |  | LII | M |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1110 | FE： | 0450 |  | FLO | FR | － |
| 11 IIH | 08； | 0451 |  | LIM | R8 |  |
| 1111 B | F6： | 0452 |  | SHR |  |  |
| 11 DC | F6： | 0453 |  | SHR |  |  |
| 1110 | FCO4； | 0454 |  | HIII | 4 |  |
| 110 F | E9： | 0.455 |  | SEX | 69 |  |
| 11 EO | F5： | 0456 |  | SII |  | －• |
| 11E1 | E8： | 0457 |  | SEX | Rs |  |
| 11 EP | FE； | 0458 |  | SHL |  | －• |
| 11E3 | C3113E： | 0459 |  | LEDF | LODF＇B |  |
| 11 E | F845； | 0460 |  | LII | GロF |  |
| 11 ES | H7： | 0461 |  | FLO | RG |  |
| 11 EF | F800； | 046 |  | LII | 0 |  |
| 11EF | 59： | 0463 |  | STR | 89 |  |
| 11 EC | 7A： | 0464 | RES |  |  |  |
| 11ED | C01 0n7： | 0465 |  | LEF： | LODF＇H | －RE－ENTEF SEHFLH |
| $11 F 0$ | F800； | 0460 | SET： | LII | 0 | －－ |
| $11 F 2$ | 59； | 0467 |  | STR | FS |  |
| 1173 | C0113E： | 0463 |  | LER | LODFE | －－ |
| $11 F 6$ | ； | 0469 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |
| $11 F 6$ | ； | 0470 | － |  |  |  |
| 11 FG | ； | 0471 |  |  |  |  |
| $11 F 6$ | ； | 0472 |  | QRE | ：120゙0 | － |
| 12019 | 0101； | 0473 | E1T： | IU： | \％0101 | ．． 5 |
| 120 e | 0503： | 0474 |  | IIL | $\therefore 0503$ | ．． 6 |
| 1204 | 0E04： | 0475 |  | IIC： | ： 1504 | ．．${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| $1 E 06$ | 0n94： | 0476 |  | ［10． | ：01104 | －・シ |
| 1208 | $1105 ;$ | 0477 |  | 110 | $: 1105$ | ．． 9 |
| 120 H | 10100： | 0478 |  | 112． | $: 0100$ | ． 1.0 |
| 1200 | 4706 | 0479 |  | III： | $0: 4706$ | ． 1.1 |
| 12 OE | 1304 | 0480 |  | IIT． | ： 1304 | ．1．E＇ |
| 1210 | $1504 ;$ | 0481 |  | ［10： | ：1504 | ． 1.3 |
| 1こ1こ | ごい5： | 0482 |  | DC： | ¢ご心5 | ．． 1.4 |
| 1こ14 | 0301： | 0483 |  | ［110： | \％0301 | ．． 1.5 |
| 1こ16 | ： | 0484 |  |  |  |  |
| 1き16． | ； | 10485 | － |  |  |  |
| 1 116 | ； | 0456 |  |  |  |  |
| 1216 | 01009 | 0487 | E®T： | IIC： | 80000 | ． 0.0 |
| 1218 | 0905： | 1485 |  | IIC： | $\because 0906$ | ． 9.15 |
| 1き1ヵ | 0905： | 1489 |  | III． | ＊0905 | ． 0.30 |
| $1 玉 1 c$ | 07040 | 1490 |  | ITC： | 90704 | ． 0.45 |
| 1 E1E | 9503： | 0491 |  | ［19， | ＊050\％ | ． 0.60 |
| $1 こ こ 0$ | 030e： | 0.492 |  | IIC | ＊030E | ． 0.75 |
| 12ここ | 1 LOS | 0493 |  | LII： | \％ 1105 | － 0.90 |
| 1 ここ4 | 01009 | 0494 |  | IU： | ＊1100 | ． 1.00 |
| 1ご¢ | $1104:$ | 0495 |  | IIC： | ＊1104 | －1．05 |
| 1ごこ | 1304： | 0496 |  | IIS： | \％1304 | ． 1.30 |
| 1EEH | ！EGS： | 0497 |  | ［1I： | ＊0E03 | ． 1.35 |
| 1ここし | 0501； | 0498 |  | ［II： | －0501 | － 1.50 |






| 1304 8A | 0099 | RHIFT: | GLO | RA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1305 3221: | 0100 |  | B2 | EXITA | $\cdots$ |
| 1307 2A! | 0101 |  | DEC | RA |  |
| 130828 | 0102 |  | DEC. | ks |  |
| 1309285 | 0103 | DEC | R8 |  |  |
| 130 FO | 0104 |  | LDK |  | $\ldots$ |
| $1308 \mathrm{F6}$ | 0105 |  | SHE |  |  |
| 1300 A9 | 0106 |  | PLO | R9 |  |
| 130 F FA40: | 0107 |  | ANI | \% 80 | .. GET PREY. SIGM |
| 130 F 3 l 51 | 0108 |  | B2 | RHI | .. SIGN "+a \% YESI |
| 1311898 | 0109 |  | GL0 | R9 | .. NO, it's - |
| 1312 F9808 | 0110 |  | QR1 | \%80 | . REESTDRE "-" |
| 1314 ค9 | 0111 |  | FLO | 89 |  |
| 1315998 | 0112 | RH1: | GLO | R9 | .. GET IT |
| 131658 | 0113 |  | StR | R8 | .. Sive high ordee mits |
| $131760 \%$ | 0114 |  | IRX |  |  |
| 1318 FO | 0115 |  | LDK |  |  |
| 1319 76; | 0116 |  | SHRC |  | . |
| 131A 583 | 0117 |  | STR | Rs |  |
| 1318608 | 0118 | 1RX |  |  |  |
| 1310 F0; | 0119 | LIX |  |  |  |
| 131076 | 0120 | SHRC |  |  |  |
| 131 E 58 | 0121 | STR | F 8 |  |  |
| 131F 3014: | 012 z |  | ER | Rhift |  |
| 1321 DF: | 0123 | EXITA: | SEP | RF | .. RETURN |
| 1322 coleco; | 01 24 |  | LER | MFLY |  |
| 1325 ; | 0125 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |
| 1325 ; | 0126 | . |  |  |  |
| 1325 ; | 0127 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |
| 1325 : | 0128 | . | SURROUT | Itine meft |  |
| 1325 : | 0129 | . | CAL | LINIG SEQ. : | $\cdots$ |
| 1325 ; | 0130 | . |  | EX R4 .. | R4 $=$ ADIL ( P ) |
| 1325 : | 0131 | . |  |  | RTE A. DCTEMF) |
| 1325 : | 0132 | . | SEP | RE |  |
| 1325 : | 0133 | . |  |  |  |
| 1325 : | 0134 | -. |  |  |  |
| 1325 ; | 0135 | . |  |  |  |
| 1325 ; | 0136 | LR=:06 | - |  |  |
| 1325 ; | 0137 | C1=409 |  |  |  |
| 13 E 5 : | 0138 | CE=000 |  |  |  |
| 1325 : | 0139 | C3E:50F |  |  |  |
| 1325 ; | 0140 | DELTA=tz |  |  |  |
| 1325 ; | 0141 | CHAR=:̇z |  |  |  |
| 13 E5; | $014{ }^{\text {a }}$ | TEMPZ=: | 35 |  |  |
| 1325 : | 0143 | . |  |  |  |
| 13c\% ; | 0144 | . . |  |  |  |
| 1325 : | 0145 |  |  |  |  |
| 1325 ; | 0146 | drg | :1340 |  |  |
| 1340 FO | 0147 | MEAT: | LIX |  |  |
| 134157 | 0148 |  | STE | 87 | . $\mathrm{R}_{\text {F }}=\mathrm{F}$ |
| 1342 27; | 0149 |  | IEC | P. 7 |  |
| 1343 FE | 0150 |  | SHL |  | .. GET 3IGN |
| 1344 3ミ4A; | 0151 |  | BLIF | hegra | $\cdots$ HEG $\because$ Y YES |
| 1346 F8010: | 0152 |  | LDI | 0 | .. HD, EXt zefoes |
| 1349 304C; | 0153 |  | ER | MEATA |  |
| 134A F3FF; | 0154 | MEgAF: | LII | :FFF | .. Ext dies |
| 1346 57; | 015 | MEATA: | STE | F7 | . - Snve It |
| 1345 ; | 0156 | . |  |  | . TEMF $=$ F , de EITS |
| 13415 : 7 | 0157 |  | 1 HC | R7 |  |
| 134 EFPD | 0158 |  | LII | A. OCTEMFO) | . . |
| 1350 fe | 0150 |  | FLD | Fa |  |
| $1351 \mathrm{Fg} 99 \%$ | 0160 |  | LDI | C. | . |
| 1353 $1354 \%$ | 0161 |  | FLD | F9 |  |
| 1354 4\% | 0162 |  | LDA | 59 | - |



| 1380 | A9： | 4199 |  | PLD | R9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1381 | 498 | 0200 |  | LIM | R9 |  |
| 1382 | EA： | 0201 |  | PHI | RA | ．．RA．$=$ LSE＂Ce＂ |
| 1383 | 091 | OE0E |  | L．DM | R9 |  |
| 1384 | HA！ | 0203 |  | PLD | R．A |  |
| 1385 | E8 | 0204 |  | SEX | R．8 |  |
| 1386 | DF ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0205 |  | SEP | R．F． | ．CALL MPLY（delta） |
| 1387 | 041 | ¢ER06 |  | LDH | R 4 | ．$A=R(7-0.1)$ |
| 1385 | FE | Qeop |  | SHL |  | ．．GET SIGH |
| 1389 | 336E | 0208 |  | BDF | NEGH |  |
| 1388 | 76 | 0209 |  | SHRC |  |  |
| 1385 | 3091： | 0210 |  | ER | OSA |  |
| 138 E | 76 | 0211 | NEGA： | SHRC |  |  |
| 138 F | FD00： | 0212 |  | SDI | 0 |  |
| 1391 | BA3 | $0 ¢ 13$ | PDSA： | PHI | RA | ．．RA． $1=$ ARS（R） |
| 1392 | 573 | 0214 |  | STR | R 7 | ．．TEMP． $0=$ HES（E） |
| 1393 | F829\％ | 0215 |  | LII | CHAR |  |
| 1395 | A8： | 0216 |  | PLO | Re | － |
| 1396 | F800： | 0217 |  | LDI | 0 |  |
| 1398 | AR： | 0218 |  | FLD | RA | $\cdots$ |
| 1399 | E7 | 0219 |  | DEC | R． 7 |  |
| 1394 | 57： | 0こで0 |  | STR | R？ | $\cdots$ |
| 1392 | 17： | $0<{ }^{\text {a }} 1$ |  | INC | R7 | ．．ReTe aid．DF Multiplichan |
| 1395 | IF： | 02 az |  | SEP | RFF | ．．EALL MFLY（Char＝Ref， |
| 1390 | F824； | 0223 |  | LDI | A．00 | ． |
| 139 F | A9： | 0224 |  | PLD | F9 | ．． |
| 13 RO | $49:$ | 0225 |  | LDA | R9 |  |
| 13 Al | FE； | 0226 |  | SHL |  | $\cdots$ |
| 13 AL | $09:$ | 0227 |  | LINH | F9 |  |
| 13 A3 | 3EAT； | $0 \mathrm{0cz}$ |  | BHF | PDEE | $\cdots$ |
| 13 A5 | FDOO： | 0229 |  | SHI | 0 |  |
| 13 A 7 | EA； | 0230 | PDSE： | PHI | F：A |  |
| 13 R 8 | 57； | 0231 |  | STR． | ET |  |
| 1349 | 27： | 0232 |  | DEC | F\％ | ．．TEMF＝fES（IELTA） |
| 13 AR | F800； | 0.33 |  | LII | 0 |  |
| 13 AC | 57： | 0.34 |  | STR | R7 | ． |
| $13 A D$ | 17； | 0235 |  | INC： | F． 7 |  |
| 13 AE | AP； | 0.36 |  | FLO | RH | ． |
| 134 F | F831： | 0237 |  | LII | IEMFi̇ |  |
| 1381 | He： | 0838 |  | FLL | Fs |  |
| 13 EC | DF： | 0239 |  | SEF | RF | ．．LALL MFLYCTEMFĖ＇， |
| 1383 | ， | 0240 |  |  |  | ．．＝IELTH＊＊く̇ |
| 1363 | FsEs： | 0241 |  | LIII | CHAF |  |
| 1385 | H9： | 0242 |  | FLD | $5 \%$ |  |
| 1386 | 10： | 0243 |  | LIIN | F9 |  |
| 1387 | F4： | 0244 |  | Alin |  |  |
| 13 ES | 59： | 0845 |  | STR | F9 |  |
| 1389 | 29： | Qé4e |  | IEC | F9， |  |
| 13 EF | cis： | $0 \cdot 45$ |  | LEC | R8 |  |


| 1388 | 093 | 0248 | LUN | $R 9$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 BC | 741 | 0249 | ADC |  |  |
| 138 D | 598 | 0250 | STE | 09 |  |
| 138 E | F8291 | 0251 | LDI | CHAF |  |
| 1360 | A8: | 0252 | PLD | R8 |  |
| 1361 | 051 | 0253 | Lin | RS |  |
| 1362 | F53 | 0254 | 50 |  | . AmCHAF-HLFA, LD DREDER |
| 1363 | 573 | 0255 | STR | R27 |  |
| 1364 | 278 | 0256 | DEC | AT | $\cdots$ |
| 136 | 253 | 0257 | DEC | RS |  |
| 1306 | 283 | 0258 | DEC | R8 |  |
| 1367 | 453 | 0 0259 | LUA | RS | - |
| 13 CB | FBFF: | 0269 | XRI | *FF |  |
| 13 CA | 743 | 0 0 6: | ADC |  | .. high deder eits |
| 13 CB | 573 | 0262 | STR | E.7 |  |
| 1300 | 171 | 0263 | INC | RT | . |
| 13 CD | F80F: | 0264 | LDI | C3 |  |
| 13 CF | A98 | 0265 | PLD | R9 | - |
| 1300 | 491 | 0266 | LDA | R9 |  |
| 1301 | EA! | 0267 | PHI | RA | - |
| 13 DE | 098 | 0 0268 | LIN | E9 |  |
| 1345 | Aft | 0269 | FLD | PA | -• |
| 1304 | FE35: | 0270 | LII | TEMFE |  |
| 1306 | A8: | 0271 | PLD | Fi8 |  |
| 1307 | DF: | 0272 | SEP | RF | .. Cali. MFly |
| 13 DE | 05; | 0273 | LUN | R5 |  |
| 1319 | F43 | 0274 | ADI |  | - |
| 13 DA | $55 \%$ | 0275 | STE | R5 |  |
| 13 LE | 25: | 0276 | IEC | R5 | -• |
| 130 C | 283 | 0277 | IEC | R8 |  |
| 1315 | 05: | 0278 | LIN | R5 | - |
| 13 DE | 74: | 0279 | ADC |  |  |
| 13 LIF | 55\% | 0280 | Ste | FE | $\cdots$ |
| 13 E 0 | $15 ;$ | 0281 | 14 C | FS |  |
| 13 E 1 | If: | 0 08E | SEP | RF | .. RETURTH |
| 13 Ez | 30408 | 0283 | EF | MEAT |  |
| 1 SE4 | ; | 0284 | END |  |  |
| 0000 |  |  |  |  |  |
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## High Pass Filter (C1)

Range: $\quad 0.5$ to 1.5
Resolution: 0.1
Mean: 0.995
Steps: 11

| Index | Value | Real Value | Index | Value | Real Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.5 | 0.5000 | 6 | 1.1 | 1.1093 |
| 1 | 0.6 | 0.6250 | 7 | 1.2 | 1.1875 |
| 2 | 0.7 | 0.6875 | 8 | 1.3 | 1.3125 |
| 3 | 0.8 | 0.8125 | 9 | 1.4 | 1.4062 |
| 4 | 0.9 | 0.9060 | 10 | 1.5 | 1.5000 |
| 5 | 1.0 | 1.000 | 11-15 |  |  |

## Weighting Constant (C2)

Range: $\quad 0.0$ to 2.0
Resolution: 0.15

Mean: 0.65

Steps: 14

| Index | Value | Real Value | Index | Value | Real Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 8 | 1.05 | 1.0625 |
| 1 | 0.15 | 0.1406 | 9 | 1.20 | 1.1875 |
| 2 | 0.30 | 0.2812 | 10 | 1.35 | 1.3750 |
| 3 | 0.45 | 0.4375 | 11 | 1.50 | 1.5000 |
| 4 | 0.60 | 0.6250 | 12 | 1.65 | 1.6250 |
| 5 | 0.75 | 0.7500 | 13 | 1.80 | 1.8125 |
| 6 | 0.90 | 0.9060 | 14 | 1.95 | 1.9375 |
| 7* | 1.00 | 1.0000 | 15* | 2.00 | 2.0000 |

*Not required in original specification

## (C3)

Range: $\quad 0.2$ to 0.8
Resolution: 0.1
Mean: 0.5
Steps: $\quad 7$

| Index | Value | Real Value | Index | Value | Real Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.200 | 0.2030 | 13* | 0.525 | 0.5234 |
| 1* | 0.225 | 0.2187 | 14* | 0.550 | 0.5468 |
| 2* | 0.250 | 0.2500 | 15* | 0.5750 | 0.5781 |
| 3* | 0.275 | 0.2730 | 16 | 0.6000 | 0.6093 |
| 4 | 0.300 | 0.2960 | 17* | 0.6250 | 0.6250 |
| 5* | 0.325 | 0.3280 | 18* | 0.6500 | 0.6562 |
| 6* | 0.350 | 0.3437 | 19* | 0.6750 | 0.6718 |
| 7* | 0.375 | 0.3750 | 20 | 0.7000 | 0.7031 |
| 8 | 0.400 | 0.4062 | 21* | 0.7250 | 0.7187 |
| 9* | 0.425 | 0.4218 | 22* | 0.7500 | 0.7500 |
| 10* | 0.450 | 0.4531 | 23* | J. 7750 | 0.7656 |
| 11* | 0.475 | 0.4687 | 24 | 0.8125 | 0.8125 |
| 12 | 0.500 | 0.5000 | 25-31 |  | ED" |

## (C4)

| Range: | 0.005 to 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resolution: | 0.005 |  |  |  |  |
| Mean: | 0.025 |  |  |  |  |
| Steps: | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Index | Value | Real Value | Index | Value | Real Value |
| 0 | 0.0050 | 0.0048 | 10 | 0.0300 | 0.0312 |
| 1* | 0.0075 | 0.0078 | 11* | 0.0325 | 0.0332 |
| 2 | 0.0100 | 0.0097 | 12 | 0.0350 | 0.0351 |
| 3* | 0.0125 | 0.0126 | 13* | 0.0375 | 0.0371 |
| 4 | 0.0150 | 0.0156 | 14 | 0.0400 | 0.0390 |
| 5* | 0.0175 | 0.0175 | 15* | 0.0425 | 0.0429 |
| 6 | 0.0200 | 0.0195 | 16 | 0.0450 | 0.0449 |
| 7* | 0.0225 | 0.0234 | 17* | 0.0475 | 0.0468 |
| 8 | 0.0250 | 0.0253 | 18 | 0.0500 | 0.0507 |
| 9* | 0.0275 | 0.0273 | 19-31 |  | SED" |

Threshold Constant (C5)
Range: $\quad 4.0$ to 6.0
Resolution: 1.0
Mean: $\quad 5.0$
Steps: 3

| Index | Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Real Value |
| 0 | 4.0 |  |
| 1 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| 2 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| 3 | 7.0 | 6.0 |
|  |  | 7.0 |


|  | Expected Time Consumption (Search Mode) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\|R\|=127$ |  | 1250 inst. $=10 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) |
| Program Seq. or Function | At Average Values | Worst Case | At Best Values |
| Germ Main | 130 | 130 | 130 |
| Sub Read | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Sub Meat | 125 | 125 | 125 |
| Sub Mply (Cl) | 30 | 320 |  |
| Sub Mply (C2) | 30 | 245 |  |
| Sub Mply ( $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Sub Mply ( $\Delta \mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) | 230 | 230 | 230 |
| Sub Mply (C3) | 50 | 340 |  |
| Sub Mply (C4) | 300 | 320 |  |
| Sub Mply (C5) | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Totals | 1205 | 2050 |  |


|  | Expected Time Consumption (Validation Mode) |  | ( 1250 inst. $=10 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\|\mathrm{R}\|=127$ |  |  |
| Program Seq. or Function | At Average Values | Worst Case | At Best Values |
| Germ Main | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Sub Read | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Sub Meat | 125 | 125 | 125 |
| Sub Mply (C1) | 30 | 320 |  |
| Sub Mply (C2) | 30 | 245 |  |
| Sub Mply ( $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Sub Mply ( $\Delta \mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) | 230 | 230 | 230 |
| Sub Mply (C3) | 50 | 340 |  |
| Totals | 815 | 1660 |  |







|  | 畋vi\&ONS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3*M | 20 me | Oricmipliom | onte | apmaval |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |



## BCARD 1







| neytions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | 2activim | 日ave | aremeval |
|  |  |  |  |
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